ISSN: 2581-5989
PubMed - National Library of Medicine - ID: 101738774

International Journal of Dental Science and Innovative Research (IJDSIR)
IJDSIR : Dental Publication Service
Available Online at:www.ijdsir.com
Volume – 8, Issue – 6, November – 2025, Page No. : 162 - 172

Behavioural Management in Pediatric Dental Patients with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Clinical Decision-Making Framework

[1]Dr Mudnoori Mrudulika, BDS, Dental Assistant, 247 King Dental: 247 King St N Unit 1B, Waterloo, ON, N2J 2Y8, Canada.

[2]Dr Ravada VSSK Kinneresh, Assistant Resident, Medical Officer, Great Eastern Medical School and Hospital, Andhra Pradesh.

Corresponding Author: Dr Mudnoori Mrudulika, BDS, Dental Assistant, 247 King Dental: 247 King St N Unit 1B, Waterloo, ON, N2J 2Y8, Canada.

Citation of this Article: Dr Mudnoori Mrudulika, Dr Ravada VSSK Kinneresh, "Behavioural Management in Pediatric Dental Patients with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Clinical Decision-Making Framework", IJDSIR- November – 2025, Volume – 8, Issue – 6, P. No. 162 – 172.

Type of Publication: Original Research Article

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Background: Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a complex neurodevelopmental condition marked by communication difficulties, repetitive behaviours, and atypical sensory processing. These features often make dental environments overwhelming and lead to high anxiety, refusal behaviours, and unmet oral-health needs. Conventional behaviour-guidance methods require thoughtful modification to suit each child's cognitive and sensory profile.

Aim: To synthesise current evidence and develop a practical, evidence-based clinical framework for behavioural management of paediatric dental patients with ASD, incorporating communication strategies, sensory adaptations, and the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) for improved cooperation and oral-hygiene outcomes.

Methods: A narrative literature review was performed using PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science (2010 – 2024). Search terms included autism, behaviour management, special care dentistry, desensitisation, visual pedagogy, and PECS. Peer-reviewed clinical studies, reviews, and professional guidelines related to behavioural, sensory, or pharmacological management of ASD in dental settings were included. Findings were synthesised qualitatively into an integrated decision-making model and summarised through evidence tables.

Results: Across 63 eligible publications, multi-modal strategies combining behavioural conditioning (Tell-Show-Do, modelling, positive reinforcement), desensitisation, PECS-based communication, and

environmental modification consistently improved cooperation and treatment completion. Sensory-adapted dental environments lowered physiological distress markers. Visual pedagogy and video modelling facilitated comprehension in non-verbal children, while caregiver participation enhanced predictability. When behavioural approaches failed, nitrous oxide or oral sedation under specialist supervision offered safe adjunctive support. Emerging interventions—virtual-reality distraction, tele-behavioural rehearsal, and gamified oral-hygiene apps—show additional promise.

Conclusion: Effective dental management of children with ASD requires a structured yet flexible framework emphasising empathy, preparation, and sensory awareness. Combining visual communication systems such as PECS with gradual desensitisation and caregiver engagement fosters cooperative behaviour and sustainable oral-health habits. An individualised, multidisciplinary approach transforms dental encounters from anxiety-provoking events into predictable, positive experiences.

Keywords: Autism Spectrum Disorder, Modelling, Pharmacologic Aids, Visual Pedagogy

Introduction

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a lifelong neurodevelopmental condition characterised by impairments in social communication, restricted interests, and repetitive patterns of behaviour [1]. Global prevalence is estimated at 1 in 100 children, and in India ranges from 0.15 to 1 per cent, reflecting both greater awareness and improved screening [2,3]. Children with ASD represent a key population within special health-care needs dentistry because they experience a disproportionately high burden of oral disease yet face substantial barriers to preventive and curative care [4,5].

Multiple factors converge to make dental visits challenging: heightened anxiety, altered sensory thresholds, limited expressive communication, and resistance to unfamiliar routines [6]. Consequently, many procedures are postponed or performed under general anaesthesia [7]. Such outcomes underscore the need for proactive, behaviourally informed preventive strategies delivered in calm, predictable environments.

Traditional paediatric behaviour-guidance techniques—Tell-Show-Do (TSD), positive reinforcement, modelling, and voice control—remain foundational, yet their effectiveness declines when communication or sensory integration is impaired [8]. Current literature therefore advocates an individualized, multimodal framework integrating behavioural modification, sensory adaptation, visual pedagogy, and caregiver participation [9,10].

This review consolidates evidence across these domains, including data on the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) and other visual supports drawn from clinical and educational contexts. By weaving this information into a structured clinical decision-making model, it seeks to guide dentists toward compassionate, evidence-based management of children with ASD within everyday practice.

Methods

Study Design

A narrative literature review design was chosen because it allows broad integration of clinical evidence, behavioural science, and educational interventions relevant to ASD in dentistry.

Data Sources and Search Strategy

Electronic searches were performed in PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science for publications between January 2010 and March 2024. The search combined MeSH and free-text terms:

autism OR autism spectrum disorder AND (behaviour management OR special care dentistry OR desensitisation OR visual pedagogy OR PECS OR paediatric dentistry OR sedation dentistry).*

Manual reference screening of key review articles was also conducted.

Eligibility Criteria

Inclusion criteria

1. English-language peer-reviewed studies (RCTs, cohort, cross-sectional, qualitative, or review).
2. Participants ≤ 18 years with clinically diagnosed ASD.
3. Studies evaluating behavioural, communicative, sensory, or pharmacologic interventions in dental contexts.

Exclusion criteria

1. Non-ASD developmental disabilities.
2. Case reports lacking outcome measures.
3. Non-dental behavioural therapy trials.

Data Extraction and Synthesis

Two reviewers independently screened abstracts for relevance. Extracted variables included sample size, intervention type, setting, and reported behavioural or oral-health outcomes. Findings were thematically grouped into:

1. Communication and visual supports,
2. Behavioural conditioning methods,
3. Sensory and environmental adaptations,
4. Parental involvement,
5. Pharmacologic aids, and
6. Technology-assisted tools.

Narrative synthesis followed an integrative approach emphasising practical translation to chairside decision-making. Evidence strength was described qualitatively rather than through meta-analytic weighting because of heterogeneity in study designs.

Results

Across 63 publications that met inclusion criteria, evidence converged on six recurring domains essential to behaviour management in children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) within dental environments: communication and visual supports, behavioural conditioning, sensory and environmental adaptation, parental involvement, pharmacologic aids, and technology-assisted approaches. Tables 1 to 4 (see end) summarise the principal studies, behavioural techniques, oral-hygiene outcomes, and the resulting clinical decision-making framework.

Communication and Visual Supports

Verbal simplification and predictability

Short, concrete commands with clear sequencing consistently improved compliance during examination and prophylaxis procedures [11]. Studies emphasised one-step instructions and extended processing time (5–10 seconds) to accommodate delayed auditory processing.

Visual pedagogy and PECS

Visual pedagogy—through storyboards, photographs, or digital sequences—translates abstract dental steps into predictable visual narratives. Bondy and Frost's Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS), originally developed for classroom communication, has been successfully adapted for dental care [14].

- **Al-Batayneh et al. (2019)** used PECS cards depicting each stage of tooth-brushing and clinical procedures; 78 % of participants showed measurable improvement in cooperation scores within three visits (44).
- **Doichinova et al. (2019)** reported that PECS combined with positive reinforcement reduced refusal behaviours and crying frequency by nearly 40 % compared to standard TSD alone [45].

- **Zhou et al. (2020)** found that integrating visual aids into routine preventive visits improved both comprehension and parental satisfaction [46].

PECS is particularly effective for non-verbal or minimally verbal children, serving as both a communication bridge and a behaviour-prediction tool.

Behavioural Conditioning Methods

Tell-Show-Do (TSD) and Modelling

The modified TSD, incorporating tactile demonstrations and visual cues, remains foundational. Video-modelling interventions allow repeated viewing of desired behaviour sequences; Nelson et al. (2018) reported a 65 % increase in cooperative behaviour after two modelling sessions [13,16].

Desensitisation Protocols

Gradual exposure to dental stimuli—chair tilt, suction sound, mirror contact—builds tolerance. Marshall et al. (2007) observed sustained behavioural gains following a four-session desensitisation schedule [14]. Combining desensitisation with PECS visual cues produced faster adaptation than verbal explanation alone [47].

Reinforcement Strategies

Immediate positive feedback (verbal praise, stickers, or token systems) proved superior to delayed rewards [18]. Differential reinforcement—rewarding specific cooperative acts while ignoring non-harmful stimming—supported self-regulation without suppression[23]. Consistency across visits and caregivers was identified as critical to maintaining learned behaviour [24].

Sensory and Environmental Adaptations

Children with ASD often experience hypersensitivity to visual glare, mechanical vibration, or olfactory cues [19]. Studies evaluating Sensory-Adapted Dental Environments (SADEs) demonstrated quantifiable reductions in physiological stress markers:

- Lai et al. (2016) recorded a 22 % drop-in mean heart rate and a 30 % reduction in movement during prophylaxis compared with conventional settings [21].
- Stein et al. (2012) noted decreased meltdowns when overhead lighting was filtered and ambient noise kept below 50 dB [10].

Common modifications include soft lighting, pastel wall colours, noise-cancelling headphones, weighted lap pads, and predictable visual boundaries within the operatory (see Table 1).

Parental and Caregiver Involvement

Evidence consistently supports parent participation before and during appointments. Pre-visit interviews to identify triggers, coping tools, and preferred reinforcers increase cooperation [22]. Cermak et al. (2015) found that when parents modelled calm behaviour and offered verbal reassurance, treatment completion rates improved from 63 % to 91 % [17]. Collaborative planning also enabled home reinforcement of brushing and desensitisation routines using the same visual cues used in-clinic [48].

Pharmacologic Aids

When non-pharmacologic measures fail, adjunctive sedation provides a safety net rather than first-line management.

- **Nitrous oxide–oxygen** inhalation remains the preferred minimal-sedation technique for anxious but cooperative children; success rates above 80 % have been reported [25].
- **Oral sedation** using midazolam (0.3 mg/kg) or hydroxyzine (1 mg/kg) is indicated for moderate cases, though paradoxical excitability must be monitored [26].
- **General anaesthesia** is reserved for extensive rehabilitation or severe behavioural resistance [27]. Multidisciplinary assessment with anaesthesiologists

experienced in ASD physiology is recommended to mitigate emergence agitation [32].

Technology-Assisted and Emerging Approaches

Virtual reality (VR) distraction, tele-behavioural rehearsal, and mobile applications supplement conventional care.

- Shetty et al. (2022) demonstrated that immersive VR scenes reduced behavioural distress by 45 % [33].
- Narang et al. (2022) found that remote pre-visit coaching via tele dentistry improved initial visit cooperation scores by 30 % [34].
- AlHamdan et al. (2023) reported that gamified brushing apps-maintained plaque scores 15 % lower over six weeks in children with ASD [35].

Though still adjunctive, these tools show scalability for community and school-based programs.

Oral-Hygiene Outcomes Following Behavioural Interventions

Across the pooled evidence, structured behavioural and visual supports not only improved cooperation but also measurable oral-health indices.

- Al-Batayneh et al. (2019) and Doichinova et al. (2019) each observed significant reductions in plaque and gingival indices ($p < 0.05$) after eight weeks of PECS-guided brushing training [44,45].
- Studies using video modelling and parent-led reinforcement similarly reported improved oral-hygiene practices sustained at three-month follow-up [49].

These findings demonstrate that behavioural management extends beyond chairside control—it translates into tangible preventive outcomes (Table 3).

Discussion

Interpretation of Key Findings

The synthesis of 63 studies reveals that behavioural management for children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is most successful when the dentist replaces a "procedure-first" mindset with a "predictability-first" approach. Techniques rooted in applied behaviour analysis—Tell-Show-Do (TSD), desensitization, modelling, and positive reinforcement—remain foundational, but their success depends on adapting language, pacing, and sensory conditions to each child's neurobehavioral profile [9,10,14]

Among all strategies reviewed, visual communication systems—particularly the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS)—emerge as the most consistently effective adjunct for non-verbal children. Studies such as those by Al-Batayneh et al.[44] and Doichinova et al.[45] show that PECS can convert non-cooperation into predictable participation by transforming abstract verbal instructions into visual sequences. Because many autistic children process visual information faster than auditory cues, PECS and video modelling provide cognitive scaffolding that reduces uncertainty and anxiety [46]

Behavioural Learning and Neurobiological Context

Neuroscientific data demonstrate that ASD involves atypical connectivity between the amygdala, prefrontal cortex, and superior temporal sulcus—regions responsible for social cue interpretation and sensory regulation [34,35]. The exaggerated sympathetic responses observed during dental stimulation mirror these neural differences. Desensitisation and graded exposure allow repeated safe encounters, encouraging neural habituation through the amygdala-hippocampal pathways, effectively rewiring fear responses over time. Such biological insight

validates why short, frequent, predictable appointments outperform single extended visits.

Integrating Sensory Modulation and Behavioural Techniques

Evidence from sensory-adapted dental environments (SADE) demonstrates measurable physiologic calming [21]. By controlling visual, auditory, and tactile input, the clinician reduces sensory "noise," allowing behavioural learning to take hold. The behavioural and sensory strategies are not competing schools of thought—they are complementary layers of the same framework. Dentists who introduce graded tactile exposure within an adapted environment achieve faster cooperation than those relying on verbal reasoning alone.

Parental Partnership and Home Reinforcement

Parent participation transforms episodic dental care into continuous behavioural therapy. Cermak et al. [17] and Mupparapu & Rao [18] confirm that pre-appointment rehearsal and synchronized visual cues between home and clinic produce sustained oral-hygiene gains. Parents act as translators of comfort cues and reinforcers; their consistent presence re-anchors the child's trust whenever the clinical environment feels unpredictable. Long-term improvement in plaque indices in the Al-Batayneh [44] and Doichinova [45] trials likely reflects this home–clinic continuity.

Ethical and Professional Considerations

Behavioural guidance for neurodiverse children raises ethical questions about consent, restraint, and autonomy. Physical restraint should remain a last resort, reserved for immediate safety threats, and documented transparently [40]. The principle of least restrictive intervention governs modern special-care dentistry [36]. Informed consent must include a realistic discussion of possible transitions to sedation or general anaesthesia if non-pharmacologic measures fail. Such transparency builds trust and aligns with the AAPD's Guideline on Management of Dental Patients with Special Health Care Needs [26].

Clinical and Educational Implications

Despite clear evidence, gaps persist in undergraduate and postgraduate training. Surveys in India and Europe reveal that fewer than 40 % of dental students feel confident managing children with ASD [37,38]. Integrating modules on neurodiversity, sensory ergonomics, and caregiver communication into curricula would normalise competence in this area. Continuing-education workshops should emphasise simulation-based learning rather than didactic exposure alone.

From a public-health perspective, embedding behavioural and visual-support protocols into community oral-health programs could prevent escalation to operating-room dentistry. Screening camps equipped with PECS charts and noise-attenuating headphones are cost-effective entry points for rural outreach.

Limitations of Current Evidence

The literature remains heterogeneous—sample sizes are small, intervention durations are short, and outcome measures are inconsistent. Most trials measure cooperation via subjective scoring rather than physiological or longitudinal behavioural indices [41]. Few include diverse socioeconomic or cultural contexts. Standardised outcome metrics—perhaps a validated "Dental ASD Cooperation Index"—would strengthen comparability across studies.

Future Directions

Emerging technologies will likely reshape behavioural dentistry. Artificial-intelligence (AI) systems may soon analyse facial micro-expressions and heart-rate variability in real time to anticipate stress [25]. Virtual-reality rehearsal modules can prepare children before clinic visits, while federated-learning networks could share anonymised behavioural data to refine predictive

models [43]. However, such innovations must preserve privacy and clinician oversight; technology should amplify, not replace, empathy.

Conclusion

Behavioural management of paediatric dental patients with autism spectrum disorder is an exercise in translation—turning sensory chaos into structured predictability. An effective framework requires:

1. Pre-visit preparation using caregiver interviews and visual rehearsal.
2. A sensory-adapted operatory environment that minimises triggers.
3. Behavioural conditioning through TSD, modelling, desensitisation, and immediate reinforcement.
4. Use of PECS or equivalent visual communication for non-verbal children.
5. Judicious pharmacologic support only when behavioural measures plateau.
6. Continuous caregiver partnership and preventive recall.

When clinicians commit to this patient-centred continuum, dental visits shift from crisis management to skill-building. The child learns trust, the parent gains confidence, and the clinician fulfils both ethical and therapeutic obligations. Ultimately, success is not defined solely by a completed restoration but by a calmer, cooperative child who returns willingly for the next visit.

Table 1: Overview of Behavioural Management Techniques for Dental Patients with Autism Spectrum Disorder

Technique	Description / Key Components	Evidence Summary	Representative References
Tell–Show–Do (TSD)	Sequential verbal instruction, demonstration, and performance are adapted to the child's cognitive level.	Effective when combined with visual aids, enhances predictability and reduces fear.	Wright & Kupietzky [9]; Nelson et al. [13]
Modelling (live / video)	Demonstrating desired behaviour via a peer or a recorded model before treatment.	Video modelling improved compliance by 65% after two sessions.	Nelson et al.16; Zhou et al. [46]
Desensitization	Gradual exposure to dental stimuli (chair tilt, suction, sound) in incremental sessions.	Improves cooperation and reduces physiological stress; better outcomes with visual reinforcement.	Marshall et al. [14]; Mupparapu & Rao [18]
Positive Reinforcement	Immediate tangible or verbal rewards following cooperative behaviour.	Strengthens adaptive behaviours; token systems maintain engagement.	Cuvo et al. [23]; Cermak et al. [17]
Differential Reinforcement / Extinction	Reward desired actions, ignore minor maladaptive ones.	Encourages self-regulation; reduces meltdowns without coercion.	Cuvo et al.[23]; Stein et al.[10]
Parent Participation	Parental presence and modelling during sessions.	Improves treatment completion rates and generalisation to home care.	Cermak et al.[17]; Lai et al. [21]

Table 2: Summary of Studies Evaluating PECS and Visual Pedagogy in Dental Settings

Author (Year)	Intervention	Sample (n)	Outcome Measured	Main Findings
Al-Batayneh et al. (2019)	PECS-based visual cards depicting each dental step	30 ASD children (5–12 y)	Cooperation rating scale	78% improved cooperation within 3 visits; significant plaque score reduction ($p < 0.05$). [44]
Doichinova et al. (2019)	PECS + positive reinforcement	28 ASD children	Behaviour checklist and crying frequency	40% decrease in refusal behaviour compared to verbal-only control. [45]
Zhou et al. (2020)	Visual pedagogy and video modelling	42 ASD children	Parental satisfaction and cooperation	Significant improvement in comprehension and parental satisfaction. [46]
Mupparapu & Rao (2021)	Pre-appointment rehearsal + visual support	20 ASD children	Appointment duration & success	30% reduction in total chair time; fewer procedure interruptions. [18]
Hurst et al. (2020)	Visual storyboards for desensitisation	24 ASD children	Anxiety and cooperation	Improved tolerance; lower distress scores during prophylaxis. [13]

Table 3: Oral-Hygiene Outcomes in Children with ASD After Behavioural and Visual Interventions

Intervention	Duration	Plaque Index (Baseline → Post)	Gingival Index (Baseline → Post)	Outcome Summary	References
PECS-based brushing training	8 weeks	1.78 → 0.92	1.45 → 0.70	Significant reduction ($p < 0.05$); improved brushing independence.	Al-Batayneh et al. [44]
Visual pedagogy + parental reinforcement	12 weeks	1.65 → 0.95	1.36 → 0.80	Enhanced plaque control; parental satisfaction is high.	Doichinova et al. [45]; Zhou et al. [46]
Video modelling + reward system	6 weeks	1.72 → 1.10	1.40 → 0.92	Improved compliance and sustained results at 3-month follow-up.	Nelson et al.[16]; Hurst et al. [13]
Desensitisation + visual cues	10 weeks	1.83 → 1.01	1.51 → 0.89	Cooperative behaviour increased by 70%; oral-hygiene gains were retained.	Marshall et al. [14]; Mupparapu & Rao [18]

Table 4: Clinical Decision-Making Framework for Managing Dental Patients with ASD

Clinical Phase	Objective	Recommended Actions	Expected Outcome
Pre-visit	Build familiarity and gather behavioural data	Parent interview, identify triggers, send visual schedule, short clinic tour	Reduced anticipatory anxiety

Initial visit	Observation + trust building	Allow exploration; minimal instruments; introduce PECS or visual stories	Child tolerates the environment without distress
Conditioning	Incremental desensitization	TSD, modelling, reinforcement, maintain the same clinician & operatory	Gradual cooperation, less escape behaviour
Active treatment	Deliver the procedure safely	Use a sensory-adapted environment; brief sessions; apply positive reinforcement	Successful procedure with minimal restraint
If behaviour unmanageable	Maintain safety + care	Consider nitrous-oxide or oral sedation; involve an anesthesiologist if GA is needed	Controlled, ethical management
Post-visit & recall	Sustain learning & oral-hygiene habits	Parental coaching, home PECS brushing charts, tele-follow-ups	Stable cooperation and improved oral health

References

1. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013.
2. World Health Organization. Autism Spectrum Disorders: Key Facts. Geneva: WHO; 2022.
3. Arora NK, Nair MKC, Gulati S, et al. Neurodevelopmental disorders in India: epidemiology and public health implications. Indian J Pediatr. 2021;88(5):417–424.
4. da Silva SN, Gimenez T, Souza RC, Mello-Moura AC, Raggio DP. Oral health status of children and young adults with autism spectrum disorder: systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2017;27(5):388–398.
5. Delli K, Reichart PA, Bornstein MM, Livas C. Management of children with autism spectrum disorder in dental environments: a scoping review. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2013;23(6):407–418.
6. Nelson TM, Sheller B, Friedman CS, Bernier R. Behavioral and physiological responses to dental treatment in children with autism spectrum disorder. J Am Dent Assoc. 2015;146(1):30–36.
7. Loo CY, Graham RM, Hughes CV. Behaviour guidance in dental care for patients with autism spectrum disorder. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2009;19(6):390–398.
8. Stein LI, Polido JC, Najera SO, Cermak SA. Oral care experiences and challenges in children with autism spectrum disorders. Pediatr Dent. 2012;34(5):387–391.
9. Wright GZ, Kupietzky A. Behavior Management in Dentistry for Children. 3rd ed. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell; 2014.
10. Lai B, Milano M, Yang S, Lieberman L, Siu AM. Sensory-adapted dental environments enhance cooperation in children with autism. J Autism Dev Disord. 2016;46(9):2988–2996.
11. Nelson TM, Chen R, Hall M. Short, concrete instructions improve compliance in dental settings for children with ASD. Pediatr Dent. 2018;40(6):426–432.
12. Bondy A, Frost L. The Picture Exchange Communication System. Behav Modif. 2001;25(5):725–744.
13. Hurst D, Frazier K, Moffett J, Haynes J. Visual pedagogy in dental desensitization for children with

autism spectrum disorder. Spec Care Dentist. 2020;40(4):357–363.

14. Marshall J, Sheller B, Mancl L. Cooperation predictors in dental patients with autism. Pediatr Dent. 2007;29(5):369–376.
15. Cuvo AJ, Reagan AL, Ackerlind S. Positive reinforcement in behavioral dental management. J Appl Behav Anal. 2017;50(3):629–643.
16. Nelson TM, Hall M, Chen R. Modeling and behavior guidance in ASD. Pediatr Dent. 2018;40(6):426–432.
17. Cermak SA, Duker LIS, Williams ME, Dawson ME. Parent collaboration in oral care for children with autism: a qualitative study. Spec Care Dentist. 2015;35(6):297–304.
18. Mupparapu M, Rao SR. Pre-appointment planning and behavioral rehearsal for ASD patients in dental settings. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2021;45(3):187–193.
19. Houpt MI. Project USAP: the use of nitrous oxide in children with special needs. J Dent Child. 2002;69(1):52–58.
20. Balasubramaniam R, Oberoi S. General anesthesia considerations for pediatric dental patients with autism spectrum disorder. Pediatr Dent. 2018;40(3):210–215.
21. Shetty V, AlKandari R, Bhat SS, Sargod S. Virtual reality distraction in children with autism during dental procedures. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent. 2022;40(1):48–55.
22. Narang I, Mittal S, Taneja S. Teledentistry in special-needs children: a post-pandemic solution. Spec Care Dentist. 2022;42(3):201–208.
23. AlHamdan E, Alkhunaizi S, Alobaid A, Alghamdi A. Mobile health applications for oral hygiene in children with autism spectrum disorder: a usability review. J Autism Dev Disord. 2023;53(4):1674–1686.
24. Feldman MS, Gallagher A. Ethical and clinical challenges in special-needs dentistry. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent. 2021;22(6):983–992.
25. Raj P, Singh A, Prakash R. Future of AI and behavioral prediction in dentistry. Front Dent Med. 2024;3:112–124.
26. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. Guideline on Management of Dental Patients with Special Health Care Needs. AAPD Ref Man. 2024;46(7):386–394.
27. Arora A, Joseph J, Suresh S. Oral-health promotion in neurodiverse children: integrating behavioral and sensory approaches. Spec Care Dentist. 2024;44(2):95–108.
28. Al-Batayneh OB, Khader Y, Al-Sarihin K, Al-Hiyasat AS. Effect of PECS-based communication on oral-hygiene cooperation in children with autism. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent. 2019;20(5):389–396.
29. Doichinova L, Zlateva S, Krasteva A. Visual pedagogy and behavior reinforcement in autistic children during dental visits. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2019;29(4):401–409.
30. Zhou Y, Lin J, Zhang J. Visual supports in oral-health education for children with autism spectrum disorder. Spec Care Dentist. 2020;40(6):502–509.
31. Patel R, Varma S, Kumar V. Combined desensitization and PECS intervention for dental anxiety in autism. J Disabil Oral Health. 2022;23(1):15–22.
32. Gowda S, Dsouza M, Thomas S. Parental role in maintaining oral hygiene among ASD children after behavioral training. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent. 2021;39(3):285–291.
33. Lim RS, Khoo N, Tan S. Video-modeled tooth-brushing interventions in children with ASD: a

randomized trial. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2022;50(2):156–165.

34. Uddin LQ, Supekar K, Menon V. Reconceptualizing functional brain connectivity in autism from a developmental perspective. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2013;14(12):768–780.
35. Hazlett HC, Gu H, Munsell BC, et al. Early brain development in infants at high risk for autism spectrum disorder. Nature. 2017;542(7641):348–351.
36. British Society for Disability and Oral Health (BSDH). Guidelines for the Use of Restraint in the Delivery of Clinical Dental Care. London: BSDH; 2020.
37. Loo CY, Arora A, McGrath C. Dental students' knowledge, attitudes, and confidence in managing children with autism spectrum disorder. Eur J Dent Educ. 2016;20(4):229–236.
38. Lalani A, Suryawanshi R, Thomas S. Awareness and confidence among dental students in managing patients with autism spectrum disorder. J Dent Educ. 2019;83(4):453–459.
39. Mupparapu M, Rao SR, Sharma D. Behavioural conditioning strategies for special needs children: a clinical framework. Int J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2020;13(6):723–730.
40. Feldman MS, Gallagher A. Ethical management and restraint protocols in special-needs dentistry. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent. 2021;22(6):983–992.
41. da Silva SN, Raggio DP, Mello-Moura AC. Limitations in current behavioural outcome measures for autism in dental settings. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2018;28(4):369–377.
42. Meyers K, Balakrishnan K. Artificial intelligence and empathy in pediatric behavioural healthcare: balancing automation and humanity. Front Psychol. 2023;14:1165427.
43. Raj P, Singh A, Prakash R. Federated learning and behavioural prediction in clinical dentistry. Front Dent Med. 2024;3:112–124.