

Beyond Porcelain: The Next Generation of High-Performance Dental Ceramics

¹Dr. Ch.S.S. Raga Mounika, Post Graduate Student, Department of Prosthodontics & Crown and Bridge & Implantology, Drs. Sudha & Nageswara Rao Siddartha Institute of Dental Science

²Dr. Sunil Chandra Tripuraneni, MDS, Professor and HOD, Department of Prosthodontics & Crown and Bridge & Implantology, Drs. Sudha & Nageswara Rao Siddartha Institute of Dental Sciences

³Dr. Atluri Kaleswara Rao, MDS, Reader, Department of Prosthodontics & Crown and Bridge & Implantology, Drs. Sudha & Nageswara Rao Siddartha Institute of Dental Sciences

⁴Dr. Sri Harsha Babu Vadapalli, MDS, Reader, Department of Prosthodontics & Crown and Bridge & Implantology, Drs. Sudha & Nageswara Rao Siddartha Institute of Dental Sciences

Corresponding Author: Dr. Ch.S.S. Raga Mounika, Post Graduate Student, Department of Prosthodontics & Crown and Bridge & Implantology, Drs. Sudha & Nageswara Rao Siddartha Institute of Dental Science

Citation of this Article: Dr. Ch.S.S. Raga Mounika, Dr. Sunil Chandra Tripuraneni, Dr. Atluri Kaleswara Rao, Dr. Sri Harsha Babu Vadapalli, “Beyond Porcelain: The Next Generation of High-Performance Dental Ceramics”, IJDSIR- May – 2025, Volume – 8, Issue – 3, P. No. 78 – 81.

Copyright: © 2025, Dr. Ch.S.S. Raga Mounika, et al. This is an open access journal and article distributed under the terms of the creative common’s attribution non-commercial License. Which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given, and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Type of Publication: Original Research Article

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

The field of dental ceramics has undergone significant evolution with the introduction of advanced materials and manufacturing technologies. These innovations have improved ceramic restorations' aesthetic, mechanical, and biological properties. This review discusses the latest developments in dental ceramics, including high-translucency zirconia, lithium disilicate ceramics, polymer-infiltrated ceramics, and CAD/CAM fabrication techniques. Emphasis is placed on their material properties, clinical applications, and prospects.

Keywords: CAD/CAM, dental ceramics, lithium

disilicate, translucent zirconia, zirconia-reinforced ceramics

Introduction

Dental ceramics have long been valued in restorative dentistry due to their superior aesthetics and biocompatibility. Traditional feldspathic porcelains, though highly aesthetic, were limited by brittleness and low fracture resistance. The advent of advanced ceramics such as zirconia and lithium disilicate has dramatically improved the reliability and durability of all-ceramic restorations. The combination of material science advancements and digital dentistry has expanded

clinical applications and enhanced outcomes in prosthodontics and restorative dentistry ¹.

Types of Advanced Dental Ceramics

- **Zirconia-Based Ceramics**

Zirconia (ZrO₂) ceramics, especially yttria-stabilized tetragonal zirconia polycrystals (Y-TZP), have become the standard for high-strength restorations. Their superior flexural strength (900–1200 MPa) and fracture toughness (9–10 MPa·m^{1/2}) make them suitable for posterior crowns and multi-unit bridges². Recent advancements include high-translucency zirconia (5Y-TZP), which offers better aesthetic integration while slightly compromising strength. These newer generations are ideal for anterior zones where aesthetics are critical ³.

- **Lithium Disilicate Ceramics**

Lithium disilicate ceramics (e.g., IPS e.max Press/CAD) have become popular for their excellent translucency and mechanical strength (~400 MPa). They offer natural aesthetics with gradient translucency and are widely used in anterior and posterior crowns, veneers, inlays, and onlays⁴. Their glass matrix enables etching and reliable bonding with resin cements, which contributes to long-term success ⁵.

- **Polymer-Infiltrated Ceramic Networks (PICN)**

Hybrid materials such as VITA Enamic represent a new class that combines ceramic and polymer matrices. These materials exhibit dual-network structures where the elasticity of polymers complements the brittleness of ceramics, simulating the modulus of dentin (~30 GPa) ⁶. They are particularly suited for conservative restorations and are easily machinable with CAD/CAM systems.

Technological Advancements

- **CAD/CAM Integration**

Digital dentistry has revolutionized ceramic restoration fabrication. CAD/CAM systems allow the milling of

pre-sintered or fully sintered blocks, providing restorations with minimal internal flaws and consistent properties⁷. This technology supports same-day restorations and improves accuracy and efficiency in both chairside and laboratory workflows.

- **Speed Sintering Techniques**

Conventional sintering of zirconia required several hours. Newer high-speed sintering furnaces now complete the process in 15–30 minutes without significantly compromising material properties⁸. This is particularly beneficial for single-visit restorations using monolithic zirconia.

- **Additive Manufacturing (3D Printing)**

Though still under development, additive manufacturing in ceramics shows promise for individualized, layer-by-layer fabrication of dental restorations. This technology enables intricate geometries and reduces material waste ⁹.

Surface Treatments and Adhesion

- **Surface Modifications**

To ensure effective bonding of ceramics, surface treatments such as air abrasion with alumina particles, silanization, and laser etching are commonly used. These techniques increase micromechanical retention and surface energy, improving the bonding efficacy of resin cements¹⁰.

- **Bonding Techniques**

Lithium disilicate ceramics are etched with hydrofluoric acid and treated with silane coupling agents, leading to strong adhesive interfaces. Zirconia, being acid-resistant, requires MDP-containing primers and sandblasting for durable resin bonding¹¹. These bonding protocols significantly influence restoration longevity and performance.

Clinical Performance and Limitations

• Clinical Success and Longevity

Clinical studies report success rates exceeding 90% for lithium disilicate and zirconia crowns over 5–10 years, with fewer complications compared to metal-ceramic systems¹². Monolithic zirconia reduces veneer chipping and is favorable for bruxers.

• Drawbacks and Limitations

Despite advancements, limitations exist. Hybrid ceramics show lower wear resistance compared to glass ceramics. Zirconia's opacity in earlier generations limited its use in anterior zones. Furthermore, bonding to zirconia is technique-sensitive and can influence clinical outcomes¹³.

Future Trends

Emerging technologies such as functionally graded ceramics that mimic enamel-dentin transitions are under development. Bioactive ceramics and nanostructured materials with antimicrobial properties are being explored. Artificial intelligence and deep learning are being integrated with CAD/CAM software to optimize tooth morphology and occlusal harmony¹⁴.

Conclusion

Recent advancements in dental ceramics have transformed the landscape of restorative dentistry. Materials such as high-translucency zirconia, lithium disilicate, and hybrid ceramics, supported by digital workflows, offer reliable, aesthetic, and patient-centered solutions. Continued innovation promises even more personalized and biologically integrated restorative options in the future.

References

1. Kelly JR, Benetti P. Ceramic materials in dentistry: historical evolution and current practice. *Aust Dent J*. 2011;56 Suppl 1:84–96.

2. Denry I, Kelly JR. State of the art of zirconia for dental applications. *Dent Mater*. 2008;24(3):299–307.
3. Zhang Y, Lawn BR. Novel zirconia materials in dentistry. *J Dent Res*. 2018;97(2):140–147.
4. Giordano R. Materials for chairside CAD/CAM-produced restorations. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2006;137 Suppl:14S–21S.
5. Özcan M, Valandro LF. Bond strength durability of resin cement to alumina ceramic after different surface conditioning methods. *Dent Mater*. 2006;22(8):724–732.
6. Coldea A, Swain MV, Thiel N. Mechanical properties of polymer-infiltrated-ceramic-network materials. *Dent Mater*. 2013;29(4):419–426.
7. Spitznagel FA, Boldt J, Gierthmuehlen PC. CAD/CAM ceramics for chairside use: a review. *Quintessence Int*. 2018;49(6):459–470.
8. Sulaiman TA. Materials in digital dentistry—A review. *J Esthet Restor Dent*. 2020;32(2):171–181.
9. Alharbi N, Osman RB, Wismeijer D. Additive manufacturing techniques in prosthodontics: Where do we currently stand? A critical review. *Int J Prosthodont*. 2017;30(5):474–484.
10. Kern M, Wegner SM. Bonding to zirconia ceramic: adhesion methods and their durability. *Dent Mater*. 1998;14(1):64–71.
11. Matinlinna JP, Lassila LVJ, Vallittu PK. The effect of five silane coupling agents on the bond strength of a luting cement to a silica-coated titanium. *Dent Mater*. 2007;23(9):1173–1180.
12. Sailer I, Balmer M, Hüsler J, Hämmerle CHF, Känel S, Thoma DS. 10-year randomized clinical trial of zirconia-ceramic and metal-ceramic fixed dental prostheses. *J Dent*. 2018;76:32–39.

13. Pjetursson BE, Sailer I, Zwahlen M, Hammerle CHF. A systematic review of the survival and complication rates of all-ceramic and metal-ceramic reconstructions after an observation period of at least 3 years. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2007;18 Suppl 3:73–85.
14. Güth JF, Edelhoff D, Schweiger J, Keul C. CAD/CAM and 3D printing: which process for which indication? Dent Mater. 2022;38(1):23–32.