

Knowledge Attitude and Practices Towards Research among Post Graduate Students and Teachers in Dental Colleges in Patna – An Institutionalized Survey¹Suma B.S, Professor and HOD, Department of Public Health Dentistry, BIDS¹Neelu Roy, Post Graduate Trainee, Department of Public Health Dentistry, BIDS²Garima Mangal, Professor, Department of Public Health Dentistry, BIDS³R.N.P. Singh, Reader, Department of Public Health Dentistry, BIDS**Corresponding Author:** Neelu Roy, Post Graduate Trainee, Department of Public Health Dentistry, BIDS.**Citation of this Article:** Suma B.S, Neelu Roy, Garima Mangal, R.N.P. Singh, “Knowledge Attitude and Practices Towards Research among Post Graduate Students and Teachers in Dental Colleges in Patna – An Institutionalized Survey”, IJDSIR- February – 2025, Volume – 8, Issue – 1, P. No. 72 – 79.**Copyright:** © 2025, Neelu Roy, et al. This is an open access journal and article distributed under the terms of the creative common’s attribution non-commercial License. Which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given, and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.**Type of Publication:** Original Research Article**Conflicts of Interest:** Nil**Abstract****Introduction:** Research is a process which involves systematic inquiry that entails collection of data; documentation of critical information; analysis and interpretation of that data/information in accordance with suitable methodologies set by specific professional fields and academic disciplines.**Objective:** The main objective of the study to assess the knowledge, attitude and practices towards research among post graduate students and teachers in dental colleges in Patna and to compare the associations if any between the variables considered above.**Methodology:** A cross-sectional survey using convenience sampling technique was used. A total of 160 study subjects (both post graduates and teachers) were involved in the study. Pre-validated, close-ended, self-administered questionnaire consisting of 40 questions was used. The means and standard deviationsof the measurements per group were used for statistical analysis. Difference between two groups was determined using chi square test and the level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$.**Results:** In the present study, the concept of research hypothesis was known to 46.9% of participants and 63.7% were aware about different types of study design. Majority (96.9%) of participants believed that research help in the improving ones curriculum vitae and 71.2 % are willing participate in workshop on research methodology.**Conclusion:** An overall picture of knowledge, attitude and practices towards research seems to be very promising. However, frequent reinforcement of the same can lead to better research which can contribute to newer knowledges.**Keywords:** Research, Knowledge, Attitude, Practice

Introduction

Research is a critical determinant of educational quality in contemporary pedagogical frameworks. Quality education in modern teaching relies heavily on research-driven approaches. Progress of any society in terms of economic, technologic and intellectual aspects are heavily influenced by these factors, thus research is being measured as the most worthy asset in the modern world of education.¹

Dental research in the Indian setting is in the developing stages even though we have more than 300 dental colleges, which is higher than many countries in the world. This comprises an extensive number of oral health care professionals, who can make a considerable impact in the advancement of the dental research situation in India.²

Scientific research is a systematic, empirical, and objective inquiry aimed at generating new knowledge or validating existing knowledge through the collection, analysis, interpretation, and evaluation of data. Research experience has been shown to have a strong correlation with postgraduate research outcomes and career advancement. Research in the field of health care is useful in the development of diagnosis, treatment and prevention of diseases and also influences the healthcare policies.³

A review of literature showed that the data regarding knowledge, attitudes, and practices toward Research among postgraduate students and teachers in India, is very limited. Even though it exists there is differences in perceptions. It is felt that the existing level of knowledge and awareness among the postgraduate students and teachers who have already conducted / are conducting at least one research study for their dissertation should be evaluated. Thus, the present study was aimed to undertake an institutionalized cross-sectional survey to

assess the knowledge, attitude, and practices towards research of postgraduate students and teachers in Dental colleges in Patna, Bihar.

Materials and Methodology

A descriptive cross-sectional questionnaire survey conducted among post-graduate students and teachers of various dental colleges of Patna, Bihar. The aim of the study was to assess the knowledge, attitude and practices towards Research among post graduate students and teachers in dental colleges in Patna. This study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics committee of Buddha Institute of Dental Sciences and Hospital, Patna and clearance was obtained (Ref, No. 449/BIDSH).

A survey was systematically scheduled to spread over a period of one month in July 2023. The total sample size was 160 in which 70 were postgraduate students and 90 were teachers. Convenience sampling method was used. Informed Consent was obtained from all from the participants before the start of the study. Post-graduate students and teachers of various dental colleges of Patna who were present on the day of survey were included in the survey. Students and teachers who were not willing/consent to fill the questionnaire were excluded.

Data Collection: The purpose of the study was explained to the participants, following which the questionnaires were distributed. A pre-validated, close-ended, self-administered questionnaire with 40 questions was administered to each participant in person. The questionnaire consisted of 2 sections. First section consisted of questions regarding demographic information and second section consisted of questions regarding knowledge, attitude and practices toward Research were recorded.

Statistical Analysis: The obtained data were compiled into a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet and analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS)

version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The obtained data were tabulated and subjected to descriptive statistical analysis. The means and standard deviations of the measurements per group were used for statistical analysis. Difference between two groups was determined using Chi-square test and the level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Results

In the present study among 160 study participants, 70 (43.6%) and 90 (56.4%) were post graduate students and teachers respectively. The overall total average age of the study population was 34.41 ± 4.77 years and the average age group among post graduate students were 27.87 ± 3.03 years and 40.94 ± 6.77 years was the average age of teachers.

Knowledge of the study participants regarding Research [Table 1] showed that 75 (46.9%) of study subjects who gave correct response that "Research Hypothesis" is an answer or solution to a question which has a capacity of verification or empirical demonstration, 23 (32.9%) were post graduate students and 52 (57.8%) were teachers. Knowledge regarding different types of scales used in Research, out of 132 (82.5%) of study participants who gave positive response, 55 (78.6%) were post graduate students and 77 (85.6%) were teacher. Regarding the most common study designs used in Research, out of 102 (63.7%) of study subjects who gave correct response in which 36 (51.4%) were post graduate students and 66 (73.3%) were teachers. Out of 144 (90%) of study participants who answered correctly that 'Indian Council of Medical Research' regulates or guides research activities in India, 57 (81.4%) were post graduate students and 87 (96.7%) were teachers. Knowledge about medical search engines, out of 127 (79.4%) of study participants answered correctly in which 48

(68.6%) were post graduate students and 79 (87.8%) were teachers. Overall the knowledge of teachers regarding research were better on many aspects and all the results were statistically significant when compared to post graduate students.

Attitude and Practices of the study participants towards Research showed overall positive response [Table 2]. Regarding attitude towards research, out of 155 (96.9%) of participants believed that research help in the improving ones curriculum vitae in which 65 (92.9%) were post graduate students and 90 (100%) were teachers. Out of 155 (97.5%) of study subjects agreed that research contribute to innovations in medical field in which 66 (94.3%) were post graduate students and 90 (100%) were teachers. Out of 57 (35.6%) of study subjects who gave positive response regarding whether they felt that conducting research is an extra burden to the students, 41 (58.6%) were post graduate students and 16 (17.8%) were teachers. Thus, the overall study participants showed positive attitude towards research and it was statistically significant.

Regarding whether they ever participated in any scientific research activities in the past. An overall 88.7% of the subjects gave positive response in which 65.7% and 97.8% were post graduate students and teachers respectively. When the results were statistically compared between post graduate students and teachers of dental colleges, it was found to be significant with p value < 0.01 . Regarding whether they were willing to participate in workshop on research methodology, overall 71.2% of the subjects gave positive response in which 65.7% and 75.6 % were post graduate students and teachers respectively and it was found to be non-significant with p value 0.19. thus, the overall positive response were seen regarding practice towards Research.

Table 1: Distribution of subjects according to their Knowledge regarding Research

Questions	PG n (%)	Teacher n (%)	Total n (%)	P value
Q.4 Do you know what is 'Research Hypothesis'?				
a. A proposed idea or thought	29 (41.4%)	30 (33.3%)	59 (36.9%)	0.001*
b. An answer or solution to a question	1 (1.4%)	0	1 (0.6%)	
c. An answer or solution to a question which has a capacity of verification or empirical demonstration	23 (32.9%)	52 (57.8%)	75 (46.9%)	
d. Logical deduction of the premises that may or may not be verified empirically	3 (4.3%)	5 (5.6%)	8 (5%)	
e. Don't Know	14 (20%)	3 (3.3%)	17 (10.6%)	
Q.9 Do you know that different types scales are used to measure in Research?				
a. Nominal	1 (1.4%)	6 (6.7%)	7 (4.4%)	0.003*
b. Ordinal	2 (2.9%)	0	2 (1.2%)	
c. Ratio scale	0	4 (4.4%)	4 (2.5%)	
d. Interval	0	0	0	
e. All of the above	55 (78.6%)	77 (85.6%)	132 (82.5%)	
f. Don't know	12 (17.1%)	3 (3.3%)	15 (9.37%)	
Q.13 Most common study designs include?				
a. Descriptive study design	15 (21.4%)	14 (15.6%)	29 (18.1%)	0.002*
b. Analytical study design	0	3 (3.3%)	3 (1.9%)	
c. Experimental study Design	5 (7.1%)	4 (4.4%)	9 (5.6%)	
d. All of the above	36 (51.4%)	66 (73.3%)	102 (63.7%)	
e. Don't Know	14 (20%)	3 (3.3%)	17 (10.6%)	
Q.17 Who guides or regulates research activities in India?				
a. Indian Council of Medical Research	57 (81.4%)	87 (96.7%)	144 (90%)	0.009*
b. Dental Institutions	4 (5.7%)	0	4 (2.5%)	
c. International Bodies like WHO	2 (2.9%)	0	2 (1.2%)	
d. Don't Know	7 (10%)	3 (3.3%)	10 (6.2%)	
Q.22 Do you know any medical search engines?				
a. Medline	4 (5.7%)	2 (2.2%)	6 (3.7%)	0.043*
b. Pubmed	7 (10%)	4 (4.4%)	11 (6.9%)	
c. Ebsco	9 (12.9%)	5 (5.6%)	14 (8.7%)	
d. All of the above	48 (68.6%)	79 (87.8%)	127 (79.4%)	
e. Don't Know	2 (2.9%)	0	2 (1.2%)	

*: statistically significant

Table 2: Distribution of subjects according to their Attitude & Practices regarding Research

Questions	PG			Teacher			Total			P value
	Yes	No	Don't know	Yes	No	Don't Know	Yes	No	Don't Know	
	N (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Attitude										
Q.6 Does research help in the improving ones curriculum vitae?	65 (92.9%)	4 (5.7%)	1 (1.4%)	90 (100%)	0	0	155 (96.9%)	4 (2.5%)	1 (0.6%)	0.036*
Q.7 Does research contribute to innovations in medical field?	66 (94.3%)	4 (5.7%)	0	90 (100%)	0	0	156 (97.5%)	4 (2.5%)	0	0.022*
Q.9 Do you feel conducting research is an extra burden to the students?	41 (58.6%)	29 (41.4%)	0	16 (17.8%)	71 (78.9%)	3 (3.3%)	57 (35.6%)	100 (62.5%)	3 (1.9%)	<0.01*
PRACTICES										
Q1 Have you ever participated in any scientific research activities in the past?	46 (65.7%)	23 (32.9%)	1 (1.4%)	88 (97.8%)	0	2 (2.2%)	134 (83.7%)	23 (14.4%)	3 (1.9%)	<0.01*
Q.6 Are you willing to participate in workshop on research methodology?	46 (65.7%)	20 (28.6%)	4 (5.7%)	68 (75.6%)	15 (16.7%)	7 (7.8%)	114 (71.2%)	35 (21.9%)	11 (6.9%)	0.19

*: statistically significant

(SD±3.03) and 40.94 years (SD±6.77) respectively.

Discussion

Knowledge

The present study has provided information about the knowledge, attitude and practices of postgraduate students and teachers in dental colleges towards research based on information collected.

The present study was conducted among 160 (100%) subjects in which 70 (43.6%) were post graduate students and 90 (56.4%) were teachers. The average age of post graduate students and teachers were 27.87years

Similarly, the study conducted by Mahtab Memarpour et.al., reported the mean age of postgraduates were 27.85 ± 3.06 years.

In the present study, lesser number of participants (46.9%) in which post graduate students and teachers were 32.9% and 57.8% respectively correctly defined 'Research Hypothesis'. This was in accordance with the studies done by N. Bhat et. al., P A Giri et.al., Rani and Priya, and N Sharma et. al., while another study done by D B Pawar et.al., showed higher number of participants

(58.0%) knew the definition of research hypothesis. In the present study, out of 132 (82.5%) of participants, post graduate students and teachers were 78.6% and 85.6% respectively were aware of different types of scales used in Research. In the study conducted by Hassan Khan et.al., showed that 56.7% of post graduate trainees correctly responded that a scale from 1 to 5 is called Ordinal scale. In contrast, the study conducted by V Mehrotra et. al., only 36% of post graduate students were correctly responded that ordinal scale ranges from 0 to 5.

Also, our study showed, out of 96.9% of participants, post graduate students (92.9%) and teachers (100%) were aware that Research has many study designs. Out of 63.7% of participants, post graduate students (51.4%) and teachers (73.3%) were aware about most common study designs. Similarly, the study conducted by W. Yousuf et.al., reported that 87% of participants have a basic idea of different study designs.

Our study revealed that, higher number of the participants (90%), post graduate students (81.4%) and teachers (96.7%) aware that ICMR guides research activities in India. Also, similar finding was shown by N Bhat et.al., N Sharma et. al., and V Mehrotra et.al. in accordance of it. In contrast the study conducted by Rani and Priya showed that (57.8%) of participants aware about ICMR.

Our study showed that 79.4% of participants in which post graduate students (68.6%) and teachers (87.8%) were aware about different medical search engines. This was in accordance with the study done by H Khan et.al., Bhat et.al., Pawar et.al., and Giri et.al.

Attitude & Practices

Our study showed that maximum of the participants (96.9%) in which post graduate students (92.9%) and teachers (100%) agreed that research help in improving

ones curriculum vitae. The study conducted by D B Pawar et.al., and V Mahrotra et.al., showed similar results in accordance of it. This study showed that maximum of the participants (97.5%) in which post graduate students (94.3%) and teachers (100%) agreed that research contribute to innovations in medical field. The study conducted by Giri et al., D B Pawar et.al., and N Bhat et.al., showed results in accordance of it. Our study showed that lesser number of the participants (35.6%) in which post graduate students (58.6%) and teachers (17.8%) felt that conducting research is an extra burden to the students. Also, study conducted by H Khan et.al., revealed similar results.

Regarding the practices our study showed that maximum number of participants (83.7%) in which post graduate students (65.7%) and teachers (97.8%) participated in scientific research activities in past. The study conducted by H Khan et.al., (52.3%) and W Yousuf et.al., (66%) revealed similar results. In contrast the study conducted by Priya and Rani showed only 9.3% of participants participated in scientific research activities in past.

The present study stated that maximum number of participants (71.2%) in which post graduate students (65.7%) and teachers (75.6%) willing to participate in workshop on research methodology. The study conducted by N Bhat et.al., (67.7%), Giri et.al., (70%) and Pawar et.al., (64%), also reported similar results. Also, the study conducted by Mehrotra et.al., (30.1%) showed lesser number of participants willing to participate in workshop on research methodology.

Conclusion

In the present study, it was found that, more efforts are needed to facilitate scientific research. Our study showed a significant difference of knowledge about research in between post graduate students and teachers. Teachers

had a better knowledge on many aspects and the results were significant when compared to post graduate students. However, attitude and practices towards research was positive among post graduate students and teachers. Most of the participants had a high level of knowledge, positive attitude and a low level of practice towards scientific research. There is need to be encourage research activity among post graduate students by organizing more workshops and training programmes on research methodology . An overall picture of knowledge, attitude and practices towards research seems to be very promising. However, frequent reinforcement of the same can lead to better research which can contribute to newer knowledges.

References

1. Yousuf W, Hussain M, Hasan S I, Ali A S , Kazmi S M K, Quraeshi S. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Related to Pursuing Research among Fresh Dental Graduates in Karachi, Pakistan. *Pak J Med Res*. Year: 2019 | Volume:58 | Issue:4 | Page no: 170-175.
2. Aslam F, Shakir M, Qayyum MA. Why Medical Students Are Crucial to the Future of Research in South Asia. *PLoS Medicine*. Year: 2005 | Volume:2 | Issue:11 | Page no: 1110-1111.
3. R Sudarsan, R Vignesh , K Anjaneyulu. Knowledge, Attitude, Practice and Barriers Towards Research Among Dental Undergraduates: A Questionnaire Based Survey. *Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology*. Year: 2020| Volume: 14| Issue: 4| Page no: 6095-6103.
4. Warner B, Londry R, Baloue K, Lee J. The need for research in the predoctoral dental education. *J Am Coll Dent*. Year: 2010| Volume: 77 | Issue: 3 | Page no: 23-5.
5. Singh SK, Mishra S , Khokhar A , Bharti A , Sharma M. Knowledge, attitude and practice towards scientific research among undergraduate students of a medical college in Delhi. . *Int J Community Med Public Health*. Year: 2020| Volume: 7 | Issue: 12 | Page no: 4905- 4909.
6. Osman T. Medical students' perceptions towards research at a Sudanese University. *BMC Med Educ*. Year: 2016| Volume: 16 : 253
7. Memarpour M, Fard AP, Ghasemi R. Evaluation of attitude to, knowledge of and barriers toward research among medical science students. *Asia Pacific Family Medicine*. Year: 2015| Volume: 14 | Issue: 1 | Page no: 1-7
8. Aslam F, Qayyum MA, Mahmud H, Qasim R, Haque IU. Attitudes and practices of postgraduate medical trainees towards research – A snapshot from Faisalabad. *J Pak Med Assoc*. Year: 2004| Volume: 54 | Page no:534-536.
9. Kancharla UM, Balasubramaniam A. Knowledge, Attitude, Practice, Motives And Barriers Towards Scientific Research Among Dentists And Dental Students In Chennai. *Nat. Volatiles & Essent. Oils*. Year: 2021| Volume: 8 | Issue: 5 | Page no: 6083-6095.
10. Houlden RL, Raja JB, Collier CP, Clark AF, Waugh JM. Medical students' perceptions of an undergraduate research elective. *Med Teach*. Year: 2004| Volume: 26 | Page no: 659- 661.
11. Silcox LC, Ashbury TL, Vandenberg EG, Milne B. Residents' and program directors' attitudes toward research during anesthesiology training: A Canadian perspective. *Anesth Analg*. Year: 2006| Volume: 102 | Page no: 859-64.
12. Khan H, Khawaja MR, Waheed A, Rauf MA, Fatmi Z. Knowledge and attitudes about health research amongst a group of Pakistani medical students. *BMC Med Educ*. Year: 2006| Volume: 6 | Issue: 54.

13. Khan H, Taqui AM, Khawaja MR, Fatmi Z. Problem-based versus conventional curricula: influence on knowledge and attitudes of medical students towards health research. *PLoS One*. Year: 2007| Volume: 2 | Issue: 7 | e632.
14. Khan H, Khan S, Iqbal A. Knowledge, attitudes and practices around health research: The perspective of physicians-in-training in Pakistan. *BMC Med Educ*. Year: 2009| Volume: 9 | Issue: 46.
15. Sabzwari S, Kauser S, Khuwaja AK. Experiences, attitudes and barriers towards research amongst junior faculty of Pakistani medical universities. *BMC Med Educ*. Year: 2009| Volume: 9 | Issue: 1 | 68.
16. Siemens DR, Punnen S, Wong J, Kanji N. A survey on the attitudes towards research in medical school. *BMC Med Educ*. Year: 2010| Volume: 10 | Issue: 1 | 4.
17. Pawar DB, Gawde SR, Marathe PA. Awareness about medical research among resident doctors in a tertiary care hospital: A cross-sectional survey. *Perspect Clin Res*. Year: 2012| Volume: 3 | Issue: 2 | Page no:57-61.
18. AlGhamdi KM, Moussa NA, AlEssa DS, AlOthimeen N, Al-Saud AS. Perceptions, attitudes and practices toward research among senior medical students. *Saudi Pharma J*. Year: 2014| Volume: 22 | Issue: 2 | Page no: 113-7.
19. Giri PA, Bangal VB, Phalke DB. Knowledge, attitude and practices towards medical research amongst the postgraduate students of Pravara Institute of Medical Sciences University of Central India. *JFam Med Prim Care*. Year: 2014| Volume: 3 | Issue: 1 | Page no: 22-24.
20. Sharma N, Umashankar GK, Ranganath, Ahuja N, Krishnamurthy A, Pramila M. Knowledge, attitude, and practices in research among postgraduate students in dental institutions in Bengaluru City, India. *Journal of Indian Association of Public Health Dentistry*. Year: 2014| Volume: 12 | Issue: 3 | Page no: 189-193.
21. Memarpour M, Fard AP, Ghasemi R. Evaluation of attitude to, knowledge of and barriers toward research among medical science students. *Asia Pac Fam Med*. Year: 2015| Volume: 14 | Issue: 1 | Page no: 1-7.
22. Ray S, Shah I, Nundy S. The research output from Indian medical institutions between 2005 and 2014. *Current Medicine Research and Practice*. Year: 2016| Volume: 6 | Issue: 2 | Page no: 49-58.
23. Hegde A, Venkataramana G, Kulkarni SB, Bhaskar NN, Jacob J, Gangadharappa SK. Attitudes, experiences, and barriers to research and publishing among dental postgraduate students of Bengaluru City: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Indian Association of Public Health Dentistry*. Year: 2017| Volume: 15 | Issue: 2 | Page no: 157-161.
24. Habib SR, AlOtaibi SS, Abdullatif FA, AlAhmad IM. Knowledge And Attitude Of Undergraduate Dental Students Towards Research. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad*. Year: 2018| Volume: 30 | Issue: 3 | Page no: 443-8.
25. G.G Gopika., Krishnan RP, Sundar S. Knowledge And Attitude of Undergraduate Dental Students Towards Scientific Research- A Survey. *Nat. Volatiles & Essent. Oils*. Year: 2021| Volume: 8 | Issue: 5 | Page no: 8633-8643