ISSN: 2581-5989
PubMed - National Library of Medicine - ID: 101738774

International Journal of Dental Science and Innovative Research (IJDSIR)

IJDSIR : Dental Publication Service

Available Online at: www.ijdsir.com

Volume – 7, Issue – 2, March – 2024, Page No. : 72 - 75

Clinical Perspectives on Manual vs Rotary Endodontics: A Comprehensive Review

[1]Dr. Nikhil Soni, MDS, Senior Lecturer, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, V.Y.W.S Dental College and Hospital, Amravati.

[2]Dr. Nandani Kumari, Junior Resident, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Dr. Ziauddin Ahmed Dental College and Hospital, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh UP.

[3]Dr. Amal Roy, Consultant Endodontist and Private Practitioner, Thrissur, Kerala.

[4]Dr. M Vivek Bhargava, Consulting Paediatric and Preventive Dentist, Hyderabad, Telangana.

[5]Dr. Azeem. H, Assistant Professor, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, MES Dental College, Malappuram, Kerala.

[6]Dr. Anil Samson Varghese, Senior Lecturer, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Pushpagiri College of Dental Sciences, Thiruvalla, Kerala.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Nikhil Soni, MDS, Senior Lecturer, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, V.Y.W.S Dental College and Hospital, Amravati.

Citation of this Article: Dr. Nikhil Soni, Dr. Nandani Kumari, Dr. Amal Roy, Dr. M Vivek Bhargava, Dr. Azeem H., Dr. Anil Samson Varghese, "Clinical Perspectives on Manual vs Rotary Endodontics: A Comprehensive Review", IJDSIR- March – 2024, Volume –7, Issue - 2, P. No. 72 – 75.

Type of Publication: Review Article

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Endodontic treatment plays a pivotal role in preserving natural dentition by addressing pulpal and periapical diseases. While manual instrumentation has traditionally been the primary method for root canal preparation, rotary endodontics has emerged as a popular alternative, offering various advantages. This review critically evaluates the clinical perspectives of manual and rotary endodontic techniques, highlighting their implications for contemporary practice. The advantages, limitations, and clinical applications of each approach are discussed, along with considerations for clinical decision-making and future directions in endodontic research.

Keywords: Endodontics, Manual instrumentation, Rotary Endodontics, Root canal preparation.

Introduction

Endodontic treatment is essential for preserving natural dentition by addressing pulpal and periapical diseases. Traditionally, manual instrumentation was the cornerstone of root canal preparation. However, rotary endodontics has emerged as a popular alternative, offering numerous advantages. This review critically evaluates the clinical perspectives of manual and rotary

endodontic techniques, highlighting their implications for contemporary practice.[1,2]

Manual Endodontics

Instrumentation Technique: Manual endodontics involves the use of stainless-steel hand files and reamers to shape root canals. The technique requires meticulous attention to detail and relies on tactile feedback for canal negotiation and preparation.[3,4]

Advantages [5,6]

Tactile Feedback: Manual instrumentation provides clinicians with direct tactile sensation, facilitating navigation through complex root canal anatomy.

Versatility: Hand files allow for precise control and customization of canal preparation, making them suitable for a wide range of clinical scenarios.

Cost-effectiveness: Manual instruments are generally more affordable compared to rotary systems, making them accessible for practitioners in various settings.

Limitations[7,8]

Time-consuming: Manual instrumentation is inherently time-consuming, especially in cases with intricate canal anatomy.

Procedural Errors: Without proper skill and experience, manual techniques may lead to procedural errors such as canal transportation and ledge formation.

Operator Fatigue: Prolonged use of manual instruments can lead to operator fatigue, potentially compromising treatment outcomes.

Rotary Endodontics[9]

Instrumentation Technique: Rotary endodontics utilizes motor-driven nickel-titanium (NiTi) instruments to shape root canals efficiently. These instruments rotate at high speeds, enabling rapid and precise canal preparation.

Advantages[10,11]

Efficiency: Rotary systems significantly reduce treatment time by automating the canal preparation process, improving patient comfort and satisfaction.

Predictability: NiTi rotary instruments offer greater flexibility and resistance to cyclic fatigue, resulting in more predictable canal shaping.

Debris Removal: The continuous rotation of rotary files facilitates efficient removal of debris, minimizing the risk of canal blockage and bacterial contamination.

Limitations[12,13]

Technique Sensitivity: Rotary endodontics requires specialized training and expertise to minimize the risk of procedural errors, such as instrument separation and ledge formation.

Cost: Rotary systems and disposable instruments can be expensive, potentially limiting their accessibility for certain practitioners.

Canal Transportation: Improper use of rotary instruments may lead to canal transportation, especially in curved canals, compromising treatment outcomes.

Clinical Applications and Considerations[14,15]

Case Selection: The choice between manual and rotary Endodontics depends on various factors, including the complexity of root canal anatomy, clinician experience, and patient preferences.

Manual Instrumentation: Preferred in cases with challenging anatomy or narrow canals where tactile feedback is crucial.

Rotary Endodontics: Suitable for straight canals and cases requiring efficient and predictable canal preparation.

Hybrid Instrumentation[16]

Combining manual and rotary techniques, known as hybrid instrumentation, allows clinicians to leverage the benefits of each approach while minimizing their

respective limitations. This approach is particularly useful in cases with mixed canal morphology or challenging anatomy.

Discussion

Clinical Decision Making[17]

The decision to use manual or rotary endodontics should be based on a comprehensive evaluation of individual case requirements, clinician proficiency, and patient preferences. Clinicians should consider factors such as canal curvature, accessibility, and treatment objectives when selecting the most appropriate instrumentation technique.

Future Directions[18]

Future research should focus on optimizing instrumentation protocols, enhancing clinician training, and developing innovative technologies to improve the efficiency and predictability of endodontic treatment. Additionally, prospective clinical trials comparing the long-term outcomes of manual and rotary techniques are warranted to provide evidence-based recommendations for clinical practice.

Conclusion

Manual and rotary endodontics offer distinct advantages and limitations in root canal preparation. While manual instrumentation provides tactile feedback and versatility, rotary systems offer efficiency and predictability. Clinicians should adopt a patient-centered approach, selecting the most appropriate instrumentation technique based on individual case requirements and clinician proficiency. Continued research and innovation are essential for advancing endodontic practice and improving patient outcomes.

References

1. Marvaniya J, Agarwal K, Mehta DN, Parmar N, Shyamal R, Patel J. Minimal Invasive Endodontics: A Comprehensive Narrative Review. Cureus. 2022 Jun;14(6):e25984. doi: 10.7759/cureus.25984. PMID: 35859953; PMCID: PMC9287844.
2. Makanjuola JO, Umesi DC, Oderinu OH. Treatment Outcome of Manual Versus Rotary Techniques in Single-Visit Endodontics for Patients in a Nigerian Teaching Hospital: A Randomized Clinical Trial. J West Afr Coll Surg. 2018 Apr-Jun;8(2):44–75. PMID: 32551317; PMCID: PMC7276222.
3. Kandaswamy D, Venkateshbabu N, Porkodi I, Pradeep G. Canal-centering ability: An endodontic challenge. J Conserv Dent. 2009 Jan-Mar;12(1):3–9. doi: 10.4103/0972-0707.53334. PMID: 20379433; PMCID: PMC2848810.
4. Sonntag D, Guntermann A, Kim SK, Stachniss V. Root canal shaping with manual stainless steel files and rotary Ni-Ti files performed by students. Int Endod J. 2003 Apr;36(4):246-55. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2591.2003.00661.x. PMID: 12702118.
5. Kwak SW, Shen Y, Liu H, Kim HC, Haapasalo M. Torque Generation of the Endodontic Instruments: A Narrative Review. Materials (Basel). 2022 Jan;15(2):664. doi: 10.3390/ma15020664. PMID: 35057383; PMCID: PMC8778851.
6. Li KZ, Gao Y, Zhang R, Hu T, Guo B. The effect of a manual instrumentation technique on five types of premolar root canal geometry assessed by microcomputed tomography and three-dimensional reconstruction. BMC Med Imaging. 2011 Jun 15;11:14. doi: 10.1186/1471-2342-11-14.
7. Arias A, Peters OA. Present status and future directions: Canal shaping. Int Endod J. 2022 May;55(Suppl 3):637–655. doi: 10.1111/iej.13698. PMID: 35118683; PMCID: PMC9303733.
8. Khanna R, Handa A, Virk RK, Ghai D, Handa RS, Goel A. Clinical and Radiographic Evaluation of

Procedural Errors during Preparation of Curved Root Canals with Hand and Rotary Instruments: A Randomized Clinical Study. Contemp Clin Dent. 2017 Jul-Sep; 8(3): 411–415. doi: 10.4103/ ccd.ccd_495_17. PMID: 29042727; PMCID: PMC5643999.

9. Liang Y, Yue L. Evolution and development: engine-driven endodontic rotary nickel-titanium instruments. Int J Oral Sci. 2022 Feb 18;14(1):3. doi: 10.1038/s41368-022-00139-4.
10. Kuzekanani M. Nickel-Titanium Rotary Instruments: Development of the Single-File Systems. J Int Soc Prev Community Dent. 2018 Sep-Oct;8(5):386–390. doi: 10.4103/ jispcd. JISPCD_225_18. PMID: 30430063; PMCID: PMC6187886.
11. Zanza A, D'Angelo M, Reda R, Gambarini G, Testarelli L, Di Nardo D. An Update on Nickel-Titanium Rotary Instruments in Endodontics: Mechanical Characteristics, Testing and Future Perspective - An Overview. Bioengineering (Basel). 2021 Dec; 8(12): 218. doi: 10.3390 / bioengineering 8120218.
12. Khasnis SA, Kar PP, Kamal A, Patil JD. Rotary science and its impact on instrument separation: A focused review. J Conserv Dent. 2018 Mar-Apr;21(2):116–124. doi: 10.4103/JCD.JCD_240_17. PMID: 29674810; PMCID: PMC5890398.
13. Gambarini G. Advantages And Disadvantages Of New Torque-Controlled Endodontic Motors And Low-Torque NiTi Rotary Instrumentation. AustEndod J. 2002 Jan;27(3):99-104. doi:10.1111 /j.1747-4477.2001.tb00466.x.
14. Talebzadeh B, Nezafati S, Rahimi S, Shahi S, Lotfi M, Ghasemi N. Comparison of manual and rotary instrumentation on postoperative pain in teeth with asymptomatic irreversible pulpitis: a randomized clinical trial. Iran Endod J. 2016 Fall;11(4):273–279. doi: 10.22037/iej.2016.4. PMID: 27790255; PMCID: PMC5069902.
15. Versiani M, Martins JNR, Ordinola-Zapata R. Anatomical complexities affecting root canal preparation: a narrative review. Aust Dent J. 2023 Nov;68(3). DOI: 10.1111/adj.12992.
16. Walsch H. The hybrid concept of nickel-titanium rotary instrumentation. Case Reports Dent Clin North Am. 2004 Jan;48(1):183-202. doi: 10.1016/j.cden.2003.11.003. PMID: 15066513.
17. Messer HH. Clinical judgement and decision making in endodontics. AustEndod J. 1999 Dec;25(3):124-32. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-4477.1999.tb00119.x. PMID: 11410981.
18. Neelakantan P, Vishwanath V, Taschieri S, Corbella S. Present status and future directions - Minimally invasive root canal preparation and periradicular surgery. Int Endod J. 2022 Apr; 55(S4). doi: 10.1111/iej.13750.