ISSN: 2581-5989
PubMed - National Library of Medicine - ID: 101738774

International Journal of Dental Science and Innovative Research (IJDSIR)

IJDSIR : Dental Publication Service

Available Online at:www.ijdsir.com

Volume – 8, Issue – 3, June – 2025, Page No. : 66 - 70

Optimizing Adhesion in All Ceramic Restoration: A Clinical Guide

[1]Dr. Varshini Prem, BDS, Sathyabama Dental College and Hospital

[2]Prof. (Dr). Natashekara Mallesh, PhD (Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery) Research Scholar, Nirwan University Jaipur

[3]Dr. Virsen Patil, Department of Prosthodontics, Crown and Bridge, Tatyasaheb Kore Dental College and Research Centre, Kolhapur

[4]Dr. Vyom Akshay Rathi, PG Resident, Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, RKDF Dental College and Research Centre, Bhopal

[5]Dr. Bamania Vrutanben Sureshkumar, MDS Periodontology, Private Practitioner, Sanjivani Polyclinic

[6]Dr. Rohit Singh, MDS Endodontist, Private Practitioner, Zonal Head- Clove Dental

Corresponding Author: Dr. Varshini Prem, BDS, Sathyabama Dental College and Hospital

Citation of this Article: Dr. Varshini Prem, Prof. (Dr). Natashekara Mallesh, Dr. Virsen Patil, Dr. Vyom Akshay Rathi, Dr. Bamania Vrutanben Sureshkumar, Dr. Rohit Singh, "Optimizing Adhesion in All Ceramic Restoration: A Clinical Guide", IJDSIR- June – 2025, Volume – 8, Issue – 3, P. No. 66 – 70.

Type of Publication: Original Research Article

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

The widespread use of all-ceramic materials in restorative dentistry has significantly improved aesthetic and functional outcomes. However, the clinical success of these restorations depends heavily on the appropriate selection of ceramics, surface treatment protocols, and cementation techniques. This article provides a detailed overview of adhesive and non-adhesive cementation methods, categorizes ceramic materials based on composition, and offers evidence-based recommendations to ensure optimal bond strength and longevity of ceramic restorations.

Keywords: Ceramic, Cementation, Adhesive bonding, Air abrasion, Adhesive, Primer.

Introduction

The longevity of indirect ceramic restorations relies on both patient-related and operator-controlled factors. While the patient's oral hygiene, dietary habits, and functional behavior affect the durability of restorations, the dental professional's skill in tooth preparation, impression-taking, and cementation critically determines the clinical outcome. Among these steps, cementation plays a pivotal role in providing retention, sealing marginal gaps, and ensuring the mechanical durability of the restorations [1,2].

Recent advances in ceramic materials offer superior aesthetic outcomes and increased fracture resistance. However, the diversity of ceramic systems—each with distinct compositions and properties—can make the

selection of an appropriate cementation method complex and challenging. An in-depth understanding of ceramic classification, suitable surface treatments, and adhesive protocols is therefore essential to maximize restoration performance [3,4].

Classification of Dental Ceramics

Ceramics used in dental restorations can be broadly categorized into three types based on their microstructure and glass content [5,6]:

Predominantly Glass Ceramics

These ceramics contain a high proportion of glass matrix derived from feldspar minerals, silicon, and aluminum oxides. They exhibit excellent optical properties, making them suitable for esthetic zones such as veneers, inlays, onlays, and jacket crowns. However, their inherent brittleness and low flexural strength necessitate adhesive bonding to enhance fracture resistance[7]. Before cementation, the internal surface of these ceramics must be conditioned using hydrofluoric (HF) acid to create micromechanical retention, followed by the application of a silane coupling agent to promote chemical adhesion to resin cements [8].

Particle-Filled Glass Ceramics

This category includes leucite-reinforced and lithium disilicate ceramics. The inclusion of crystalline particles within the glass matrix improves strength compared to predominantly glass ceramics. Materials such as IPS Empress (leucite-based) and IPS e.max (lithium disilicate-based) fall into this category [9].

- Leucite ceramics are best suited for veneers, inlays, and low-stress areas and require adhesive cementation to compensate for their moderate strength [10].
- Lithium disilicate ceramics, owing to their superior strength, offer flexibility and can be cemented either adhesively or conventionally, depending on preparation design. Adhesive bonding is essential for partial restorations such as veneers, while full crowns can be cemented conventionally [11].

Polycrystalline Ceramics (Nonglass)

Polycrystalline ceramics, including alumina and zirconia-based systems, contain no glass phase, resulting in exceptionally high fracture resistance and toughness. These ceramics are often used for frameworks and copings in high-stress areas[12].

Due to their glass-free nature, traditional acid etching is ineffective. Instead, surface modification through air abrasion and the application of adhesive primers (e.g., MDP-containing agents) is recommended to enhance resin cement bonding where adhesive cementation is necessary [13,14].

Cementation Techniques

Cementation methods are classified into two main types [15]:

Adhesive Cementation

This technique uses resin-based materials to form both chemical and micromechanical bonds with the tooth structure and ceramic. Adhesive cementation is indicated when:

- The preparation offers limited mechanical retention.
- The ceramic is fragile or thin (e.g., feldspathic veneers).
- Enhanced resistance to fracture is necessary [16].

Surface Preparation Protocol for Adhesive Cementation

- Glass ceramics: HF acid etching (5–10% concentration for 20–60 seconds depending on ceramic type) followed by silane application[17].
- Polycrystalline ceramics: Air abrasion with 50 μm aluminum oxide particles, followed by MDP primer application [18].

Adhesive cementation requires strict control of isolation and contamination, as moisture and saliva can compromise bond integrity [19].

Conventional (Non-Adhesive) Cementation

This method relies solely on the mechanical fit and retention of the restoration, using luting agents such as glass ionomer or resin-modified glass ionomer cements. It is suitable when:

- Adequate preparation retention and resistance form is present.
- The restoration is thick and opaque (e.g., posterior crowns).
- Adhesive bonding is not necessary for mechanical reinforcement [20].

Surface Treatments According to Ceramic Type

Ceramic Type	Surface Treatment	Examples
Predominantly Glass	Etch with 10% HF acid for 60 sec, rinse, dry, apply silane	IPS e.max Ceram, Vita VM 7
Leucite Glass	Etch with 5% HF acid for 60 sec, rinse, dry, apply silane	IPS Empress Esthetic
Lithium Disilicate	Etch with 5% HF acid for 20 sec, rinse, dry, apply silane	IPS e.max Press
Glass-Infiltrated Alumina	Air abrasion, apply MDP primer	In-Ceram Alumina, Spinell
Polycrystalline (Zirconia)	Air abrasion with 50 μm alumina at 7 psi, apply MDP primer	Lava, Cercon Zirconia, Procera

Resin Cement Options

1. **Light-Cured Resin Cements**

   Recommended for thin and translucent restorations to ensure complete polymerization.

   - Examples: RelyX Veneer, Variolink Veneer [21].

2. **Dual-Cured Resin Cements**

   Ideal for thick or opaque ceramics where light penetration is insufficient.

   - Examples: NX3 Nexus, RelyX ARC[22].

3. **Self-Adhesive Resin Cements**

   Simplify application by combining adhesive and cementation in one step, though typically offer lower bond strength [23].

   - Examples: RelyX Unicem, Smart Cem 2.

Clinical Considerations

- **Isolation:** Adequate field isolation is crucial during adhesive cementation to prevent contamination [24].
- **Preparation Design:** Minimal retentive preparations require adhesive cementation, while full-coverage crowns with optimal retention forms can be conventionally cemented [25].
- **Material Selection:** The choice of ceramic must align with both the functional and esthetic demands of the restoration site [26].

Conclusion

Advancements in dental ceramics have transformed restorative procedures, offering clinicians options that balance beauty with function. The success of all-ceramic restorations is not solely dependent on material properties but also on meticulous cementation procedures tailored to each ceramic type. Following manufacturer guidelines, ensuring appropriate surface treatment, and selecting the correct cementation method are all essential for predictable, long-lasting outcomes [27].

References

1. Burke FJ, Watts DC. A review of factors affecting the longevity of direct resin composite restorations. J Dent. 1994;22(3-4):147-56.

2. Peumans M, Van Meerbeek B, Lambrechts P, Vanherle G. Porcelain veneers: a review of the literature. J Dent. 2000;28(3):163-77.
3. Kelly JR, Benetti P. Ceramic materials in dentistry: historical evolution and current practice. Aust Dent J. 2011;56(Suppl 1):84-96.
4. Guess PC, Schultheis S, Wolkewitz M, Zhang Y, Strub JR. All-ceramic systems: laboratory and clinical performance. Dent Clin North Am. 2011;55(2):333-52.
5. Denry I, Kelly JR. State of the art of zirconia for dental applications. Dent Mater. 2008;24(3):299-307.
6. Anusavice KJ, Shen C, Rawls HR. Phillips' Science of Dental Materials. 12th ed. Elsevier; 2013.
7. Magne P, Douglas WH. Porcelain veneers: dentin bonding optimization and biomimetic recovery of the crown. Int J Prosthodont. 1999;12(2):111-21.
8. Blatz MB, Sadan A, Kern M. Resin-ceramic bonding: a review of the literature. J Prosthet Dent. 2003;89(3):268-74.
9. Scherrer SS, Swain MV. Comparison of the flexural strength of three resin-matrix ceramics. Dent Mater. 2015;31(3):361-7.
10. Kelly JR. Ceramics in restorative dentistry: a review. J Prosthet Dent. 1989;62(5):597-605.
11. Sailer I, Fehmer V, Filser F, et al. Five-year clinical results of zirconia frameworks for posterior fixed partial dentures. Int J Prosthodont. 2007;20(4):383-8.
12. Zhang Y. Making yttria-stabilized tetragonal zirconia translucent. Dent Mater. 2014;30(10):1195-203.
13. Kern M. Bonding to zirconia ceramic: adhesion methods and their durability. Dent Mater. 2015;31(1):19-26.
14. Atsu SS, Kilicarslan MA, Kucukesmen HC, Aka PS. Effect of surface treatment on the bond strength of resin cement to zirconia ceramic. J Prosthet Dent. 2006;96(2):104-10.
15. Burke FJ. The clinical success of adhesive cementation. Br Dent J. 2010;208(10):453-7.
16. Christensen GJ. Selection of resin luting agents for all-ceramic restorations. J Am Dent Assoc. 2009;140(10):1238-43.
17. Carvalho RM, Sano H, Yoshiyama M, Pashley DH. Microtensile dentin bond strength after aging in water. J Dent Res. 1996;75(10):1745-51.
18. Kern M, Wegner SM. Bonding to zirconia ceramic: adhesive methods and their durability. Dent Mater. 1998;14(1):64-71.
19. Reis AF, Giannini M, Lovadino JR, Dos Santos PH. Effect of moisture and contamination on dentin bond strength. Oper Dent. 2004;29(1):62-8.
20. Wilson AD. Glass-ionomer cement restorative materials: a sticky subject? Aust Dent J. 2010;55(1):2-11.
21. Papia E, Larsson C, du Toit M, Vult von Steyern P. Adhesion to zirconia used for dental restorations: a systematic review. J Adhes Dent. 2014;16(1):7-26.
22. Kern M, Thompson VP. Resin bonding to zirconia ceramic: laboratory testing vs clinical outcome. Oper Dent. 2014;39(6):704-12.
23. Lepri CP, de Almeida JM, Naves LC, Soares CJ. Clinical performance of self-adhesive resin cements: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Dent. 2016;51:1-11.
24. Toledano M, Osorio R, Yamauti M, Osorio E, Garcia-Godoy F. Bonding to dentin: mechanisms and clinical implications. J Adhes Dent. 2013;15(5):431-8.

25. Peumans M, De Munck J, Fieuws S, et al. Seven-year clinical evaluation of the survival of porcelain veneers. Clin Oral Investig. 2015;19(5):1295-303.
26. Piascik JR, Swift EJ Jr. Surface treatment and bonding protocol for resin cementation of zirconia-based restorations: a clinical guide. Compend Contin Educ Dent. 2010;31(8):660-4.
27. Sailer I, Fehmer V, Filser F, et al. Five-year clinical results of zirconia frameworks for posterior fixed partial dentures. Int J Prosthodont. 2007;20(4):383-8.