

International Journal of Dental Science and Innovative Research (IJDSIR)

IJDSIR : Dental Publication Service

Available Online at: www.ijdsir.com

Volume - 5, Issue - 3, May - 2022, Page No. : 134 - 141

Systematic review and Meta analysis on chlorhexidine Gluconate and essential oil mouth wash

¹Dr. Sunayana Manipal, Reader, Department of Public Health Dentistry, SRM Dental College and Hospital, Rama Puram, Chennai-600089.

²Dr. Sajid T Hussain, Master of Dental Surgery, Reader, Department of Periodontology, Sree Balaji Dental College And Hospital, Chennai- 6001004.

³Dr. Lubna Fathima, Master of Dental Surgery, Department of Public Health Dentistry, SRM Dental College And Hospital, Rama Puram, Chennai-600089.

⁴Dr. Venkat, Master of Dental Surgery, Reader and Research Supervisor, Department of Prosthodontics, SRM Dental College And Hospital, Ramapuram-600089, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

⁵Dr. Deborah Gonmei, Master of Dental Surgery, Assistant Professor, Department of Public Health Dentistry, Jawaharlal Nehru Institute of Medical sciences, Imphal East, India.

⁶Dr. khundrakpam Eremba, Master of Dental Surgery, Assistant Professor, Department of Public Health Dentistry, Jawaharlal Nehru Institute of Medical sciences, Imphal East, India.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Sunayana Manipal, Reader, Department of Public Health Dentistry, SRM Dental College and Hospital, Rama Puram, Chennai-600089.

Citation of this Article: Dr. Sunayana Manipal, Dr. Sajid T Hussain, Dr. Lubna Fathima, Dr. Venkat, Dr. Deborah Gonmei, Dr. khundrakpam Eremba, "Systematic review and Meta analysis on chlorhexidine Gluconate and essential oil mouth wash", IJDSIR- May - 2022, Vol. – 5, Issue - 3, P. No. 134 – 141.

Copyright: © 2022, Dr. Sunayana Manipal, et al. This is an open access journal and article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution non-commercial License. Which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Type of Publication: Original Research Article

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Background: The purpose of this review is to systematically evaluate the effects of an essential-oil mouthwash compared to a chlorhexidine mouthwash with respect to plaque and parameters of gingival inflammation.

Methods: PubMed/MEDLINE databases were searched for studies. A meta-analysis was performed, and weighted mean differences were calculated.

Results: A total of 17 unique articles were found, of which 11 articles met the eligibility criteria. Essential oil mouthwash provided significantly better effects regarding prophylactic plaque control than chlorhexidine **Conclusion:** In long-term use, the standar dized formulation of essential oil mouth wash is reliable than chlorhexidine mouthwash.

Keywords: Chlorhexidine, essential oils, metaanalysis, plaque

Introduction

Man in the recent times has achieved a giant leap in the field of dentistry. With the increase in the knowledge and attitude of the newer technological enhanced patients, the concept of treatment is now increasingly been replaced by prevention¹. The paradigm is now been shifted from disability limitation to treatment of diseases. Gingivitis is not an exemption here. This reversible form of the disease has seen in the last two decades shows a paradigm shift from treatment to prevention. With improvement at genetic and molecular levels, understanding the disease cause and disease progression has now become easier. With knowledge empowerment in this sector, a lot has been achieved in the treatment and prevention sector. It has been always the mindset of a dental patient that prevention is always better than cure as it is rightly said an ounce of prevention is better than a pound of cure. In the field of dentistry especially with regards to dentistry rings the bell of chlorhexidine in the mind of the dentist. Gingivitis and various forms of periodontal disease have always been attributed to the complex formation of plaque adherence, accumulation, initiation and progression of disease on the bio film. Enriched information is now increasingly been available on the complex mechanism involved in bio film and plaque formation¹. Listerine the first ever formed essential oil antiseptic was given to the world by Joseph Lawrence Lister in the year 1879^1 . Though this has been introduced almost a millennium to chlorhexidine the usage of essential oil mouth washes was has been limited for the treatment of halitosis in the dental field. With the antiseptic chlorhexidine discovery in the 1940's when it was first introduced to the world by Imperial Chemical Industries in England¹. 1950's saw chlorhexidine as a popular general antiseptic in comparison with essential oil mouth wash². The ability to inhibit oral plaque by chlorhexidine was first observed by Schroeder in 1969³ and it was evidenced in a more scientific manner by Loe and Schiott 1972⁴. From time immemorial plaque build-up challenged was indispensable by chlorhexidine gluconate with practically no replacement or alternate strategies available for it. Since then, a war is waged as to which is mightier - chlorhexidine or essential oil mouth wash!

Chlorhexidine (CHX) is a broad-spectrum antimicrobial agent that destroys the cell membrane by precipitation and coagulation of the cytoplasmic proteins of the flora. Chlorhexidine mouth microbial rinses are available in the form of 0.2% and 0.12% and it has been show that their efficacy is similar at similar doses⁵. Essential oil mouth wash also inhibits plaque formation by destroying the cell membrane in addition to interference with the inflammatory process. The prophylactic usage of gold standard chlorhexidine and essential oil mouth wash remains a debatable topic. With the usage of antibiotics, chlorhexidine has been scrutinized in the recent times due to complication of resistance and increased staining properties, the usage of chlorhexidine is now warranted. Reports by both the American and British professional societies have now given an insight so as to the usage of prophylactic usage of mouth rinses. Chlorhexidine is still considered as the gold standard for its antimicrobial action but due to increased plaque formation, staining capacity and resistance may now limit its continued use¹. These Arguments bring us to light about the fact that chlorhexidine can still be considered as a gold standard for prophylactic prevention or the time has come for its

reconsideration.

Materials and methods

For this Meta analysis, studies that were randomized clinical trials (RCTs) or controlled trials in healthy human subjects comparing the effects of chlorhexidine gluconate and essential oil mouth washes on plaque levels for atleast 3 months were included. There was no restriction on the amount or percentage of the mouthwashes. The plaque levels in all the included study were taken with one of the following indices: Plaque Index by Silness & Loe $(1964)^6$, Plaque-Index by Quigley & Hein $(1970)^7$ and its modification by Ture sky S, Gilmore N D & Glickman $(1970)^8$.

The search was done from the pub med central listed studies from 2003 to 2017 with the use key words with Boolean operators during the month of May 2017 (chlorhexidine, essential oil, mouth wash, randomized control trials). 17 unique articles were obtained from electronic database search (pub med central). Only 11 studies were pooled in for the Meta analysis (table 1). Table 1: Various studies included for analysis The other studies were not included as they were either in vitro experiment, experiments done on laboratory animals, and a few studies had used microbial techniques with gingival parameters. The fixed effects model was used for analysis when compared to the random effects model as the data was more heterogeneous. Chi square was used to compute heterogeneity based on the standard deviation and confidence levels of all the selected studies.

Results

The meta-analysis done by the random effect models showed that out of eleven studies (table 1) that were analyzed, eight studies favour the use of essential oil mouth wash ^{9,11,14-19} in-comparison with only one study ¹³ that favour the effect of chlorhexidine extract. Table 1 shows the various studies taken into account for our analysis in which the mean, standard deviation and mean difference was calculated.

Sn.	Author name	Chlorh	Chlorhexidine extracts Herbal extracts			5	Weight	Mean Difference IV	
									Fixed 95%CI
		Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total No		
				No of			of study		
				study			subjects		
				subjects					
1	Anirban Chatterjee	0.9	0.66	15	1.1	0.48	15	0.30%	-0.20 (-0.61, 0.21)
	et al $(2011)^{12}$								
2	Bathini Chandra	2.1	0.3	40	2.3	0.3	40	2.90%	-0.20 (-0.33, -0.07)
	has et al $(2012)^{13}$								
3	Betul Rahman et al	2.33	0.66	20	2.74	0.78	20	0.20%	-0.41 (-0.86, 0.04)
	(2014) ¹⁴								
4	Devanand Gupta et	2.1	0.57	36	2.49	0.46	36	0.90%	-0.39 (-0.63, -0.15)
	$al(2014)^{15}$								
5	Harjit Kaur et al	2.9	0.34	30	2.86	0.34	30	1.70%	0.04 (-0.13, 0.21)

Dr. Sunayana Manipal, et al. International Journal of Dental Science and Innovative Research (IJDSIR)

	(2014) ¹⁶								
6	Manasa Hosamane	1.69	0.6	10	1.63	0.27	10	0.30%	0.06 (-0.35, 0.47)
	et al ^{(2014)¹⁷}								
7	Mayur Sudhakar at	1.65	0.13	120	1.25	0.1	120	57.40%	0.40 (0.37, 0.43)
	all (2013) ¹⁸								
8	Prashant R Shetty	2.09	0.15	10	2.09	0.14	10	3.10%	0.00 (-0.13,0.13)
	et al (2003) ¹⁹								
9	Gupta RK et al	3.1	0.25	100	3.14	0.29	100	8.80%	-0.04 (-0.12, 0.04)
	$(2014)^{20}$								
10	Ratika Sharma et al	1.29	0.26	32	1.3	0.25	33	3.20%	-0.01 (-0.13, 0.11)
	$(2014)^{21}$								
11	Shivika Mehta et al	1.06	0.1	20	1.05	0.06	35	21.30%	0.01(-0.04, 0.06)
	^{(2013)²²}								
	Total			433			449	100%	0.22[0.20,0.24]
Heterogeneity: $\text{Chi}^2 = 369.01$, $\text{df}=10$ (p<0.00001); $\text{I}^2 = 97\%$									
Test for overall effect $Z = 19.22$ (p<0.00001)									
Only t	Only two studies ^{10, 12} remain neutral agreeing to the null the complex dexterity required during mechanical tooth								

Only two studies ^{10,12} remain neutral agreeing to the null hypothesis that there is no difference in the effect of both the mouth washes (fig 1).

Figure 1



Discussion

The prevention treatment of bio film on the surface of the tooth has been herculean task for dentist. The removal of the biofilm can be achieved both at the patient and at the professional level. At the patient level this process of removal is furthermore complicated by

© 2022 IJDSIR, All Rights Reserved

the complex dexterity required during mechanical tooth cleaning process²⁰. The efficiency of cleaning is both time and technique bound. Hence in order to ease this process, mouth washes are frequently prescribed prophylactically by the dentist. Chlorhexidine has enjoyed being the dentist favourite prescription for a greater period of time. The effectiveness of chlorhexidine has been well documented. The mechanism of action of chlorhexidine has been thoroughly researched with substantivity remaining undoubtedly the indisputable mechanism of action. Substantivity is defined as the ability of a substance to bind to tissue surfaces and be released over time, thus providing sustained anti-bacterial activity²¹. With the increase in the usage antibiotic resistance is now emerging phenomena that has gained popularity and attention. Antibiotic resistance is a serious concern that is now challenging the prophylactic usage in practically all fields. Studies done by S. M. Clark et al²² and Carolyne Horner et al²³ has reported significant

^{age}13

antibiotic resistance. It has also come to light that increased staining of teeth associated with long term chlorhexidine use is now a frequent patient aftermath complaint. Furthermore, the usage is now been scrutinized with sufficient studies supporting the fact that prolonged chlorhexidine usage is directly proportional with levels of calculus formation. Studies done by Over holder et al, ²⁴ Grossman et al, ²⁵ Charles CH et al¹⁰ have shown levels of calculus deposition and extrinsic tooth stain were significantly higher in the chlorhexidine group than in the essential oil mouth rinse group. Moreover, the interaction of chlorhexidine with sodium lauryl sulfate an active ingredient in dentifrice is also now documented. Studies done by Barkvoll et al²⁶ suggest that the activity of chlorhexidine is compromised with the long-standing sodium lauryl sulfate containing dentifrice usage. Listerine though being the primary formed antiseptic was not used so frequently as in comparison with chlorhexidine. The effectiveness of Listerine was always comparatively lesser when compared to chlorhexidine is the vesteryears. This could be attributing to the fact that the trials were not done on a longitudinal basis ^{10,27,28,29,30}. Trials done in the year 1995 by Triratana T et al²⁷ seems to be the fairly accepted trial for essential oils and formed the basis for in vivo studies. Newer studies done by Charles CH¹⁰ have now warranted the prolonged prophylactic usage of chlorhexidine. The present Meta analysis has included clinical trials that have been done for greater than three months.

The prevalence of gingivitis in the young adult population is on the rise with the global periodontitis prevalence as noticed by the WHO is 10 to 15% in an adult population.³¹. The developed and developing nations have their citizens remove plaque one third times more effectively than the underdeveloped nations³². In

India the prevalence of gingivitis affecting about 87.3% of the population³³ and 57%, 67.7%, 89.6% and 79.9% in the age groups 12, 15, 35-44 and 65-74 years of the population respectively suffers from periodontal disease³⁴. With chemotherapeutic methods accepted as a measure to remove plaque, the trend of increased usage of over-the-counter mouth washes are now widely used^{35, 36, 37, 38}. Inadequate control of bacterial plaque is considered one of the primary causative factors in periodontal disease progression^{30,39}. The gaining popularity of prophylactic mouth washes are commonly noticed among geriatrics, differently abled and handicapped populations⁴⁰. Though the usage has been prescribed it should be noted that long-term compliance is yet to be firmly established⁴¹. It was reported by White D^{42} that 50% of the population use mouth washes and most of it that are used are not therapeutic preparations. The certification or endorsement of their continued usage will be further complicated by the fact the most of the individuals will fail to follow or adhere the instructions as furnished by the manufacturer 42 .

Recommendations

The debatable issues regarding the usage of chlorhexidine and essential oil mouth washes can now be suggested based on the inference drawn from the present study that:

1. Prophylactic use of chlorhexidine should now be prescribed with caution. Their usage should be encouraged only for therapeutic purpose.

2. Further essential oil mouth washes can be used for a prolonged interval for prophylactic regime.

3. Essential oil mouth washes can be used to cater the needs of the population that require extra care and dexterity that included handicapped, differently abled and geriatric population.

Dr. Sunayana Manipal, et al. International Journal of Dental Science and Innovative Research (IJDSIR)

4. Longitudinal studies are now required to endorse the fact that chlorhexidine causes increased calculus formation and antibiotic resistance with special regard to oral cavity.

References

1. Fine D H Listerine: past, present and future--a test of thyme. J Dent. 2010 Jun;38 Suppl 1: S2-5.

2. Addy et al Chlorhexidine digluconate–an agent for chemical plaque control and prevention of gingival inflammation: J Periodont res 1986; 21(16) :74-89.

3. Schroeder H E. Formation and Inhibition of Dental Calculus. Hans Huber, Berlin 1969; 145-172.

4. Loe H, Von der Fehr FR, Schiott CR. Inhibition of experimental caries by plaque prevention. The effect of chlorhexidine mouth rinses Scand. J Dent Res. 1972;80(1):1-9.

5. Hoffmann, T., Bruhn, G., Richter, S., Netuschil, L. & Brecx, M. clinical controlled study on plaque and gingivitis reduction under long term use of low dose chlorhexidine solutions in a population exhibiting good oral hygiene. Clin Oral Investig 2001; 5: 89–95.

Silness J, Loe H. Periodontal disease in pregnancy
 II. Correlation between oral hygiene and periodontal condition. Acta Odontologica scandinavica. 1964 Jan 1; 22(1):121-35.

7. Quigley GA, Hein JW. Comparative cleansing efficiency of manual and power brushing. The Journal of the American Dental Association. 1962 Jul 31; 65(1):26-9.

8. Ture sky S, Gilmore ND, Glickman I. Reduced plaque formation by the chloromethyl analogue of vitamin C. Journal of periodontology. 1970 Jan; 41(1):41-3.

9. Brecx M, Netuschil L, Reichert B, Schreil G. Efficacy of Listerine, Mer idol and chlorhexidine mouth rinses on plaque, gingivitis and plaque bacteria

vitality. Journal of Clinical Periodontology. 1990; 17 (5): 292–297.

10. Charles C, Mostler K, Bartels L, Mankodi S. Comparative antiplaque and antigingivits effectiveness of a chlorhexidine and an essential oil mouth rinse: 6-month clinical trial. J Clin Periodontol. 2004;31(10):878-84.

11. Claydon N., Manning, C. M., Darby-Dow man, A., Ridge, D., Smith, S. and Addy, M. The effect of polyvinyl pyrrolidone on the clinical activity of 0.09% and 0.2% chlorhexidine mouth rinses. Journal of Clinical Periodontology 2001; 28: 1037–1044.

12. Kouzmina, E., Yanuschevitch, O., LA patina, A., Smirnova, T., & Kuzmina, I. A Pilot Study Into the Effectiveness of Two Antimicrobial Mouth rinses in a Group of Russian Adults With Gingivitis. OHD MBSC 2010; 9 (3): 131-139.

13. Botelho M A, Bezerra Filho J G, Correa L L, Fonseca S G D C, Montenegro D, Gapski R, Heukelbach J. Effect of a novel essential oil mouth rinse without alcohol on gingivitis: a double-blinded randomized controlled trial. Journal of Applied Oral Science 2007;15(3):175-180.

14. Addy M, Moran J, Davies R M, Beak A, Lewis A. The effect of single morning and evening rinses of chlorhexidine on the development of tooth staining and plaque accumulation. Journal of clinical Period ontology 1982 ;9 (2): 134-140.

15. Maruniak, J, W. B. Clark, C. B. Walker, I. Magnusson, R. G. Marks, M. Taylor, and B. Clouser. "The effect of 3 mouth rinses on plaque and gingivitis development." Journal of clinical Periodontology 1992; 19(1): 19-23.

16. Sharma N, Charles C H, Lynch M C, Qaqish J, McGuire J A, Galustians J G, Kumar L D. Adjunctive benefit of an essential oil–containing mouth rinse in

Page

Dr. Sunayana Manipal, et al. International Journal of Dental Science and Innovative Research (IJDSIR)

reducing plaque and gingivitis in patients who brush and floss regularly: a six-month study. The Journal of the American Dental Association 2004: 135(4), 496-504.

17. Shetty, Prashant R.; Setty, Swati B.; Kamat, Sushant S.; Aldarti, Ashok S.; Shetty, Shridhar N. Comparison of the antigingivits and antiplaque efficacy of the herboral (herbal extract) mouthwash with chlorhexidine and Listerine mouthwashes: a clinical study. Pakistan oral & dental journal; apr203, vol. 33 issue 1, p76

18. Ramberg P, Furuich Y, Lindtie J, Gaffer A. A model for studying the effects of mouth rinses on de novo plaque formation. Journal of clinical Periodontology 1992; 19(7), 509-520.

19. Sekino, Satoshi, and Per Ramberg. "The effect of a mouth rinse containing phenolic compounds on plaque formation and developing gingivitis." Journal of clinical periodontology 32, no. 10 (2005): 1083-1088.

20. Diane Osso, Nehal Kanani. Antiseptic mouth rinses: an update on competitive effectiveness risks and recommendations. Dental hygiene feb 2013 87:10-18.

21. Kozak KM, Gibb R, Duna vent J, White DJ. Efficacy of a high bioavailable cetylpyridinium chloride mouth rinse over a 24- hour period: a plaque imaging study. Am J Dent. 2005;18 Spec No:18A-23A.

22. S. M. Clark, A. Loeffler, and R. Bond Susceptibility in vitro of canine methicillin-resistant and -susceptible staphylococcal isolates to fusidic acid, chlorhexidine and miconazole: opportunities for topical therapy of canine superficial pyoderma J. Antimicrob. Che mother. (2015) 70 (7): 2048-2052 first published online March 5, 2015doi:10.1093/jac/dkv056.

23. Carolyne Horner, Damien Mawer, and Mark Wilcox. Reduced susceptibility to chlorhexidine in staphylococci: is it increasing and does it matter? J.

Antimicrob. Che mother. first published online July 24, 2012 doi:10.1093/jac/dks284

24. Over holser CD, Meiller TF, De Paola LG, Minah GE, Niehaus C. Comparative effects of 2 chemotherapeutic mouth rinses on the development of supragingival dental plaque and gingivitis. J Clin Periodontol. 1990;17(8):575-79.

25. Grossman E, Meckel AH, Isaacs RL, Ferretti GA, Sturzenberger OP, et al. A clinical comparison of antibacterial mouth rinses: effects of chlorhexidine, phenolics, and sanguinarine on dental plaque and gingivitis. J Periodontol. 1989;60(8):435-40.

26. Barkvoll P, Rolta G, Svendsen K. Interation between chlorhexidine gloconate and so dim Lauryl Sulphate in vivo. J. Clinical Periodontol 1989 oct:16(9):593-5

27. Triratana T, Kraivaphan P, Amornchat C, Rastogi K. Pettrone MP. Volpe AR. Effect of triclosan/copolymer pre-brush mouth rinse on established plaque formation and gingivitis: a six-month clinical study in Thailand. J Clin Dent. 1995;6(2):142-47 28. Bauroth K, Charles C, Mankodi S, Simmons K, Zhao Q, Kumar L. The efficacy of an essential oil antiseptic mouth rinse vs. dental floss in controlling interproximal gingivitis: a comparative study. J Am Dent Assoc. 2003;134(3):359-65.

29. Charles CH, Sharma NC, Galustians HJ, Qaqish J, McGuire JA, Vincent JW. Comparative efficacy of an antiseptic mouth rinse and an antiplaque/antigingivits dentifrice. J Am Dent Assoc. 2001;132(5):670-75.

30. Sharma N, Charles C, Qaqish J, Galustians H, Zhao Q, Kumar L. Comparative effectiveness of an essential oil mouth rinse and dental floss in controlling interproximal gingivitis and plaque. Am J Dent. 2002;15(6):351-55

31. Paul Erik Peterson, Hiroshi Ogawa. Prevention of periodontal disease. J Periodontol 2005 76(12): 2187-2193

32. Joanna Asadoorian. CDHA Position Paper on Commercially Available Over-the-Counter Oral Rinsing Products. Canadian Journal of Dental Hygiene (CJDH) July - august 2006, 40(4): 1-13.

33. Vipin Aggarwal, Manish Katri, Guljot Singh, Geeti Gupta, CM Marya, Vimal Kumar. Prevalence of periodontal disease in India. J Oral Health Comm Dent 2010: 4(spl) 7-16.

34. Shaju JP, Zade RM, Das M. Prevalence of periodontitis in the Indian population: A literature review. Journal of Indian Society of Periodontology. 2011;15(1):29-34. doi:10.4103/0972-124X.82261

35. Mankodi S, Bauroth K, Witt J, Bsoul S, He T, Gibb R, Duna vent J, Hamilton A. A 6-month clinical trial to study the effects of a cetylpyridinium chloride mouth rinse on gingivitis and plaque. Am J Dent. 2005;18 Spec No:9A-14A

36. Claydon NC, Addy M, Newcombe R, Moran J. The prevention of plaque re-growth by toothpastes and solutions containing block copolymers with and without polypeptide. J Clin Periodontol. 2005;32(6):545-48.

37. Rosin M, Welk A, Kocher T, Majic-Todt A, Kramer A, Pitten F. The effect of a polyhexamethylene biguanide mouth rinse compared to an essential oil rinse and a chlorhexidine rinse on bacterial counts and 4-day plaque regrowth. J Clin Periodontol. 2002;29(5):392-99.

38. Mandel ID. Chemotherapeutic agents for controlling plaque and gingivitis. J Clin Periodontol. 1988;15(8):488-98.

39. Witt J, Ramji N, Gibb R, Duna vent J, Flood J, Barnes J. Antibacterial and antiplaque effects of a novel, alcohol-free oral rinse with cetylpyridinium chloride. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2005;15(6):1-9 40. Francis JR, Hunter B, Addy M. A comparision of three delivery methods of chlorhexidine in handicapped children. I effect on plaque, gingivitis and tooth staining. Journal of Periodontology 1987b; 58: 451-455.

41. Santos A. Evidence-based control of plaque and gingivitis. J Clin Periodontol. 2003;30(Suppl 5):13-16
42. White D. An alcohol-free therapeutic mouth rinse with cetylpyridinium chloride (CPC)—The latest advance in preventive care: Crest Pro-Health Rinse. Am J Dent. 2005;18 Spec:3A-8A.