

Rehabilitation with calibrated alveolectomy for extruded alveolus on maxillary quadrant

¹Dr. Aditya Mohan, Professor, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, MNR Dental College, Sangareddy.

²Dr Satya Kinnera Chippada, Ex Sr GDC Hyderabad, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery.

³Dr. Eluru Maheswari, Intern, Government Dental College and Hospital, Hyderabad.

⁴Dr. Gadela Neelasree, Intern, Government Dental College and Hospital, Hyderabad.

⁵Dr. Dangeti Reshma Sahithi, Intern, Government Dental College and Hospital, Hyderabad.

Corresponding Author: Dr. C. Satya Kinnera, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, MNR Dental College, Sangareddy, 502294, Telangana, India.

Citation of this Article: Dr. Aditya Mohan, Dr Satya Kinnera Chippada, Dr. Eluru Maheswari, Dr. Gadela Neelasree, Dr. Dangeti Reshma Sahithi, “Rehabilitation with calibrated alveolectomy for extruded alveolus on maxillary quadrant”, IJDSIR- December - 2021, Vol. – 4, Issue - 6, P. No. 30 – 34.

Copyright: © 2021, Dr. C. Satya Kinnera, et al. This is an open access journal and article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution noncommercial License. Which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Type of Publication: Case Report

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Loss of teeth gives rise to functional and esthetic problems within the oral cavity. The opposing teeth move into the edentulous space, if not treated in timely manner. Many a times loss of intermaxillary space due to long term edentulism results in compromised prosthetic outcome. Prosthetic management of partial edentulism can be challenging with decreased occlusal space. Rearranging the lost space is prime requirement for a successful prosthetic rehabilitation of these spaces. Various procedures are used to increase vertical dimensions. In this case report, the authors describe a versatile surgical technique, to create adequate interocclusal distance for rehabilitation of implant supported prosthesis.

Keywords: Intraocclusal space, Calibrated alveolectomy, Implant.

Introduction

When teeth are lost and no replacement provided the opposing teeth may erupt beyond occlusal plane leading to supraeruption of particular teeth. This evokes functional and esthetic problems in oral cavity. Before prosthetic reconstruction can proceed, the lost intermaxillary space must be regained (1). Many a times, loss of interocclusal space results in compromised treatment (2). Limited interocclusal space in partially edentulous patients may be challenging for prosthetic rehabilitation (3). In such scenario, regaining the lost interocclusal space is the requisite for successful prosthetic rehabilitation of these cases. Several approaches have been proposed for the extension of interocclusal space such as: No Treatment, Restoration with shortened prosthesis, orthodontic measures, alveoloplasty or reduction of extruded teeth etc (4). A

combination of clinical situations with the desires of patients is the clinical factors in selecting the appropriate treatment plan (5). In this case report the author presents a case of “Calibrated alveolectomy” to regain adequate inter arch space for prosthetic rehabilitation has been discussed.

Case report

50-year-old female patient reported to clinic with complaint of missing teeth in the upper right back tooth since 18 months. On clinical examination there was missing teeth in relation to upper right and left molar and premolar region. She had implant in right lower molar region. Which was implanted 12 months back, for which she failed to get prosthesis, in addition to this right maxillary alveolus was extruded which was preventing the prosthetic rehabilitation (fig1).



Fig 1: Bone removed using chisel and mallet.



Fig 2

Note the reduced interarch space noted in the right side of patients mouth. No place for the rehabilitation of maxillary and mandibular teeth.

Treatment plan involving reestablishment of lost interocclusal space was planned (fig 2). Radiographic examination was done by taking panoramic radiograph (fig 3 and 4) and CBCT. Quantity and quality of bone are assessed. It was planned to rehabilitate the extruded posterior maxillary alveolar segment by “calibrated alveolectomy.”

Under local anesthesia, a full thickness mucoperiosteal flap was reflected. Using Castroviejo caliper 5mm bone was calculated and using 701 bur punch holes created and holes are joined in linear fashion, planned alveolectomy done from 1st premolar to 1st molar region. Achieved interocclusal space was confirmed clinically. A 5D×10L and 4.2D×11.5L implants placed simultaneously in 1st molar and 1st premolar region respectively (fig3). Closure of mucopreosteal flap done. Post operative OPG was taken (Fig 4) after a week patient was rehabilitated with interim prosthesis for maintenance of interocclusal space for about 3 months later the final prosthesis was made.



Fig 3: 4.2D10L and 5D10L implants placed.



Fig 4: Post op showing reduction in extruded alveolus with implants in place.

Discussion

Edentulism is a condition secondary to infection or trauma to teeth (6). Loss of interocclusal space occurs, when there is a teeth loss and if no appropriate in time replacement is provided in the place of lost teeth, in over or concomitant drop in alveolar ridge takes place in due time if the opposing tooth may supraerupt beyond occlusal plane. (1) To attain predictable outcome and successful results in patients, including in edentulous ones, precise diagnosis and treatment planning are utmost important. (7) Using dental implants to replace missing teeth has become the standard care for

edentulous spaces. (4). In the present case, loss of interocclusal space due to extruded posterior right maxillary alveolar segment being prime challenge for rehabilitating with implant supported prosthesis, loss of cortical bone is the main adverse outcome of alveoloplasty, although it has advantage of increasing the obtainable restorative space without directly compromising appropriate VDO, esthetics or phonetics. (7).

Of various surgical options conducive for rectifying supraerupted maxillary segment that include a) vertical reduction of alveolar ridge excess b) superior positioning of posterior segment by lefort 1 osteotomy 3) posterior maxillary segmental osteotomy and 4) extraction of supra erupted teeth. (11) Implant placement may not be performed along with sinus elevation though it is a predictable procedure as it may requires a prolonged healing upto 9 months (8). Segmental osteotomy is the most aggressive treatment.

Option, but it could be considered as an alternative option for severe extrusion (9). In segmental lefort 1 osteotomy tough tasks are present in domain of planning for surgery and good surgical technique. High percentage of postoperative relapse and frank aseptic necrosis of mobilized segments were serious complications noted. (10). It has been cited as a serious problem in reduction of nasal airway space resulting from superior repositioning by lefort 1 osteotomy (11). The osteotomy and sinus membrane elevation can be performed in a safe way by piezo surgery (9). The team approach of at least a prosthodontist and an oral and maxillofacial surgeon involved in all phases of treatment ensures better treatment outcome. The patient's complete commitment is also absolutely necessary.

Present trends affirm "selective stent guided" approach to site specific bone recon touring, thus removing bony

abnormalities that interfere with prosthetic rehabilitation or insertion, hence a guide template is critically important for estimation of amount of bone reduction during surgical procedure. When large amount of bone needs to be removed, use of surgical guide may be needed in other surgical procedure (7).

For obtaining optimal results in these kinds of cases one should keep in mind that not only considering minimal invasive techniques but also the prosthetic aspects like the function, esthetics and harmony of occlusion and long-term outcomes of prosthesis. The surgeon should always bear this in mind that procedures and techniques used should be able to cater to the needs of the patient, to make it least traumatic and lessen waiting time for the patients, especially in these types of cases. Compared to other complicated and time taking procedures mentioned, the procedure here opted is very simple and time saving. It allows the operator to utilize the amount of adequate bone which was available in the alveolus to mould the future prosthesis, without compromising the opposing or contra lateral arches, not needed for tedious procedures and multidisciplinary approaches and patient is much likely to undergo the procedure as it was simple and done as a day care procedure.

As patient was not willing for above mentioned tedious procedure, keeping in mind the patient's deformity and the surgeon's experience and choice, the author determined the specific surgical procedure which is performed. Based on the requirements and needs of patients and after assessing treatment outcome we choose the novel method "calibrated alveolectomy" to regain adequate inter maxillary space and rehabilitated patient with implant supported prosthesis. Always keeping in mind the patient's requirements and for their well-being traditional techniques can be modified according to the patients welfare and benefits as long as

it won't harm them. The option here opted by the author ("calibrated alveoloplasty") justify its need for the proper placement of implants - there by prosthesis with minimal intervention of bone, making "happy patient – happy doctor" scenario come true.

Conclusion

This report presents successful single stage calibrated alveoloplasty and implant placement. This procedure is recommended when there is limited inter occlusal space, provided adequate bone remaining even though there is extruded alveolar segment.

References

1. Chun-Ming chen, Yu-chuan Tseng et al. Interdisciplinary Management of Dental Implant Patient: A Case Report. Kaohsiung J. Med Sci Aug 2004. Vol20. No8.
2. Edward R. Mopsik Robert P. Buck et al. Surgical Intervention to reestablish Adequate Intermaxillary Space Before Fixed or Removable Prosthesis. JDA Vol 95, November 1977
3. Onur Geckili et al. Multidisciplinary Management of limited inter occlusal space: A clinical report. Journal of prosthodontics 20 (2011)329-332
4. Onur Geckili, Dr. Bilhan Dr. Mumcu. Interocclusal Space Challenges. Dent Today. 2011 Mar; 30(3):132-3.
5. Chun YS, Row J, Yang SJ, et al. Management of extruded maxillary molars to accommodate a mandibular restoration: a clinical report. J Prosthet Dent. 2000; 83:604-60
6. Alessandro Geminiani. Treatment planning guidelines and prosthetic options for the edentulous patients. Dental economics Aug 25th, 2016
7. Farrideh Geramipناه, et al. Management of Limited Interocclusal Distance with the Aid of Modified Surgical guide: A Case Report. Journal of

dentistry, Tehran university of Medical Sciences,
Tehran, Iran 2017 Vol14, No2.

8. Panos Papaspyridakos et al. Posterior maxillary segmental osteotomy for the implant reconstruction of a vertically deficient ridge: a 3year clinical report. The journal of prosthetic dentistry. Vol110 issue 2 Aug 2013.
9. Jae Ho Huwang et.al. Posterior maxillary segmental osteotomy concomitant with sinus lifts using a piezoelectric device. Journal and maxillofacial surgery 69:2339-2344,2011.
10. Darunee P. NaBadalung. Prosthodontic rehabilitation using surgical space modification. J Prosthodont 1997; 6:250-256.
11. M.R. Philip et al. Posterior maxillary segmental osteotomy for prosthodontic rehabilitation of vertically excess maxilla -a review. J Stomatol Oral Maxillofac Surg (2019)