

International Journal of Dental Science and Innovative Research (IJDSIR)

IJDSIR : Dental Publication Service Available Online at: www.ijdsir.com

Volume - 3, Issue - 4, July - 2020, Page No. : 411 - 423

A Cross Sectional Evaluation of Knowledge and Awareness towards Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Among Dental Students of Kanpur City, India.

¹Rahul Srivastava, Reader, Department of Oral Medicine & Radiology, Rama Dental College, Hospital & Research Centre Kanpur (U.P).

²Devina Pradhan, Senior lecturer, Department of Public Health Dentistry, Rama Dental College, Hospital & Research Centre Kanpur (U.P).

³Lokesh Sharma, Dental Surgeon and Consultant- Kanpur (U.P).

⁴Omveer Singh, Senior lecturer, Department of Public Health Dentistry, Career Dental College, Lucknow, (U.P)

⁵Manoj Kumar, Senior Lecturer, Department of Prosthodontics, Rama Dental College, Hospital & Research Centre Kanpur (U.P).

Corresponding Author: Devina Pradhan, Senior lecturer, Department of Public Health Dentistry, Rama Dental College, Hospital & Research Centre Kanpur (U.P).

Citation of this Article: Rahul Srivastava, Devina Pradhan, Lokesh Sharma, Omveer Singh, Manoj Kumar, "A Cross Sectional Evaluation of Knowledge and Awareness towards Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Among Dental Students of Kanpur City, India", IJDSIR- July - 2020, Vol. – 3, Issue -4, P. No. 411 – 423.

Copyright: © 2020, Devina Pradhan, et al. This is an open access journal and article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution noncommercial License. Which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Type of Publication: Original Research Article

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Background: Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) is an infectious disease caused by a newly discovered coronavirus. COVID-19 is a new disease and there is limited information regarding risk factors for severe disease. In addition to the incidence of asymptomatic and subclinical COVID-19 cases in population, the transmission of infections between dentist and patients and the dental team may present a huge threat to dental practice. The present study aims to evaluate the knowledge and awareness towards 2019 novel coronavirus amongst dental students of Kanpur city, Uttar Pradesh.

Materials and Methods: A cross-sectional survey was conducted which comprised of 390 undergraduate and post-graduate students. A modified pretested, selfadministered, close-ended questionnaire was administered through emails. Data was analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics-version 21. Categorical data were compared using the Chi-square test. All values were considered statistically significant for a value of $p \le 0.05$.

Results: The results of the study revealed that there only 14.10% respondents had knowledge regarding the source of covid-19 by direct transmission and infected person. Majority of the participants 256 (65.64%) were not aware

about the preventive treatment available for the novel coronavirus COVID-19. Differences between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant (p<0.001).

Conclusion: The present study concludes that there are knowledge and awareness gaps amongst dental students. It is highly desirable for relevant dental professional organizations to emphasize occupational and educational campaigns to increase the knowledge and awareness among dental students towards novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV).

Keywords: Awareness, Dental Students, Knowledge, Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV), Viral Infection

Introduction

Coronavirus outbreak 2019, also known as COVID-19, has quickly become an epidemic worldwide. The pathogen responsible for such infection is coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), a severe acute respiratory syndrome. Although the new COVID-19 virus differs from SARS-CoV, it uses the same host receptor, namely the human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2). On 30 January 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) announced the widespread spread of SARS-CoV-2 and its related disease (COVID-19) an emergency in public health with an overall mortality rate currently estimated to be as high as 3.4 percent.^[1,2] Coronaviruses are enveloped non-segmented positive-sense RNA viruses belonging to the family Coronaviridae and the order Nidovirales and broadly distributed in humans and other mammals.^[3]

Nowadays, two novel viruses cause severe acute disease, namely, Middle East Respiratory Syndrome-Corona-Virus (MERSCoV) and Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Corona-Virus (SARS-CoV). (Challenges)These two viruses are causing acute and often fatal illness. Due to their high fatality rate (30–90%), they have had dual effect: fear among public from contracting one or more of them as well as high burden on the healthcare system, including the treating physician and other health care workers. The reservoir of the viruses is usually animal, including: bats, camels, or chimpanzees.^[4,5]

More than 10,000 cases have been accumulated in the past 20 years, with death rates of 10% for SARS-CoV and 37% for MERSCoV.^[6,7] In December, 2019, a series of pneumonia cases of unknown cause emerged in Wuhan, Hubei, China, with clinical presentations greatly resembling viral pneumonia. Deep sequencing analysis from lower respiratory tract samples indicated a novel coronavirus, which was named 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). Thus far, more than 800 confirmed cases, including in health-care workers, have been identified in Wuhan, and several exported cases have been confirmed in other provinces in China, and in Thailand, Japan, South Korea, and the USA.^[8-11]

On 12 February 2020, the novel coronavirus was named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) while the disease associated with it is now referred to as COVID-19. It is a new strain of coronavirus that has not been previously identified in humans.

The new name of this disease is coronavirus disease 2019, abbreviated as COVID-19. In COVID-19, 'CO' stands for 'corona,' 'VI' for 'virus,' and 'D' for disease. Formerly, this disease was referred to as "2019 novel coronavirus" or "2019-nCoV".^[12]

Because of the specific characteristics of dental procedures where a large number of droplets and aerosols may be produced, standard protective measures are not sufficiently effective in daily clinical work to prevent the spread of COVID-19, particularly when patients are in the incubation period, are unaware of being infected or choose to conceal their infection. A systematic review of recent cross-sectional studies regarding the levels of knowledge and awareness of COVID-19 among dentists in India revealed inadequacy and considerable variation in the dental practice. COVID-19 is a new disease and there is limited information regarding risk factors for severe disease. In addition to the incidence of asymptomatic and subclinical COVID-19 cases in population, the transmission of infections between dentist and patients and the dental team may present a huge threat to dental practice.

Nevertheless, to our knowledge no such study has been conducted in Kanpur City that assess the knowledge regarding Covid-19. Thus, the present study was conducted with the aim to assess the knowledge and awareness of COVID-19 among dental students in Kanpur city, in India.

Material and Methods

The present descriptive, cross-sectional study was conducted which comprised of 390 undergraduate and post-graduate students. The study was conducted during a period of one month (April to May). The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board via online mode (Ethical Clearance No: 02/IEC/RDCHRC/2020-21/011) and ethical approval was granted for the same. A digitally signed informed consent form was obtained from all the participants. A modified pretested, selfadministered, close-ended questionnaire consisting of 10 questions each (adapted from Centre for Disease Control & Prevention {CDC} and World Health Organization {WHO}) were included to evaluate the knowledge and awareness regarding the novel corona virus amongst the dental students.^[13,14] Students were contacted through emails due to the lockdown protocols in the country and those who agreed to participate in the study were included in the study. Students who did not completely filled the questionnaire or who did not responded after three repeated attempts were excluded from the study.

Pilot study was conducted among 40 students to determine the feasibility of the study. These students were excluded from the final sample. Cronbach's coefficient was found to be 0.77 which signifies an acceptable internal reliability of the questionnaire. For testing the validity, the content validity ratio was also calculated by using item-rated content validity indices. This was achieved by taking the responses on the dichotomous scales where the academician indicated whether an item is favorable (score of + 1) or unfavorable (score of 0). The content validity ratio was found to be as 0.88 by the panel of four academicians. In addition, there were no changes required in the questionnaire as a result of pretest.

The estimated sample size was 384 which were rounded off to a sample of 400 to accommodate dropouts. There were 10 dropouts as the participants were absent on the days of study. Therefore, the final sample size was 390 (65 students in each group). The study participants were recruited by convenience sampling method. The response rate was 95%. The students were told to approach the investigator immediately via email in case of any doubts regarding any of the questions in the questionnaire. Data were analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics-version 21 (IBM Corp. Released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) Descriptive statistics included calculation of percentages, mean, and standard deviation. Data distribution was assessed for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Categorical data were compared using the Chi-square test. All values were considered statistically significant for a value of $p \le 0.05$.

Results

A total number of three hundred ninety participants including both undergraduate as well as post graduate students were enrolled in the study. Table 1 shows the gender distribution of the study participants, out of 390 subjects 140 (35.90%) were males and 250 (64.10%) were females. Table 2 shows the year wise distribution of study participants in all groups, out of 390 subjects 66 (16.92%) subjects were from first year, 81 (20.77%) subjects were from second year, 78(20%) subjects were from third year, 51(13.08%) subjects were from final year, 43 (11.02\%) subjects were interns and 71 (18.20%) subjects were post graduate students. Table 3 shows the response of the participant's knowledge regarding the novel Coronavirus (COVID-19). All participants (100%) knew that COVID-19 is a viral infection. Regarding their knowledge of source of infection of COVID-19 216 (55.38%) respondents reported direct transmission, 119 (30.51) reported infected person and 55 (14.10%) reported that direct transmission and infected person both are the source of infection of COVID-19. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant (p<0.001). Regarding the question assessing the knowledge about symptoms of COVID-19 percentage of correct answer was lowest (8.97%). Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant (p<0.001). Majority of the participants 305 (78.21%) were agreed with that the COVID-19 is fatal disease to any person. Only 78 (20.0%) respondents knew that the period of incubation for COVID-19 is about 1-14 days. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant (p<0.001). Majority of the participants 237(60.67%) were agreed with that the patients with COVID-19 should be isolated in a private room/ward. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant (p<0.001). Regarding question assessing knowledge of meaning of term 'Quarantine' only 164 (42.05%) respondents knew the proper meaning of term 'Quarantine'

115(29.49%) participants reported that evaluation of the patient, 197(50.51%) reported that personal protective

measures and 78(20.0%) participants reported that hand hygiene can control COVID-19 infection in a Dental setting. This suggests that the dental health students are not well knowledgeable of the COVID-19 infection control in a Dental setting. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant (p<0.001). Regarding the question assessing the knowledge about the population at a higher risk of developing COVID-19, 88 (22.56%) respondents knew that children, 184(47.18%) respondents knew that adults and 118 (30.26%) respondents knew that Geriatric population/ person with heart disease or diabetes at a higher risk of developing COVID-19. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant (p<0.001). Regarding the question assessing the knowledge about distance must be maintained from a person who is sneezing and coughing, only 119 (30.51%) respondents knew the correct answer. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant (p<0.001).

Table 4 shows the responses of the participants awareness regarding the novel Coronavirus (COVID-19).180 (46.15%) participants reported that patients with COVID-19 should wear a mask, however 156 (40%) participants reported that patients with COVID-19 should not wear a mask. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant (p<0.001). Majority of the participants 188(48.20%) had no idea regarding the patients without COVID-19 should wear a mask.

Result of the study revealed that for awareness, the percentage of correct answers was lowest (14.10%) for the question patients without COVID-19 should wear a mask. Additionally, frequency of correct response for awareness regarding respirator was lowest (24.62%).

For awareness towards N95 filtering facepiece respirator (FFR), only 41(10.51%) participants were aware about

N95 filtering facepiece respirator (FFR). Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant (p<0.001).

Regarding use of nonsterile, disposable patient isolation gowns for patients with COVID-19 very less participants (9.74 %) provide the correct response. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant (p<0.001).

Awareness towards effectiveness of antibiotics in prevention or treatment of COVID-19 only 120 (30.77%) participants knew that antibiotics do not work against COVID-19. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant (p<0.001).

Majority of the participants 256 (65.64%) were not aware about the preventive treatment available for the novel coronavirus COVID-19. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant (p<0.001).

Regarding the availability of vaccine for the novel coronavirus (COVID-19), all participants reported that there is no vaccine is available for the prevention of novel coronavirus (COVID-19). 181(46.41%) participants reported that novel coronavirus (COVID-19) is not a curable disease. Difference between the responses in all the groups was statistically significant (p<0.001).

Highest responses were observed for the role of media in the awareness of disease. 346 (88.72%) participants reported that media updates are creating awareness about novel coronavirus (COVID-19). This suggests that if media can help educate people, COVID-19 will be better understood and less scary.

Discussion

To the best of our understanding, there was no previous report of similar study, particularly none that examined knowledge and awareness regarding the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) among dental students in Kanpur city. Efficient prevention and control of infections relies on healthcare worker's knowledge and awareness at all levels. In present study most of the participants were females. Althobaity, et al conducted a study to evaluate the knowledge and awareness toward Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) of pilgrims from Saudi Arabia and from different Arabian countries he found that Saudi pilgrims possess good knowledge about the MERS-CoV although more orientation is still required.^[15] The results of the study revealed that the respondents in this study had low level of the overall knowledge regarding the novel Coronavirus including: source of infection, symptoms of infection, incubation period of COVID-19, meaning of term 'Quarantine', infection control in a Dental setting and distance to be maintained from a person who is sneezing and coughing. The majority of participants (60.67%) agreed with that patients with COVID-19 should be isolated in a private room/ward. Previous studies have reported that the key preventative and control steps for the management of acute respiratory viral infection are clear and well established.^[16]

Almutairi KM et al conducted a study to evaluate the awareness, attitudes, and practices related to MERS-CoV among the public in Saudi Arabia and it was found that the knowledge of MERSCoV in public is not optimal. Periodic educational interventions using locally adjusted methods could contribute to preventing panic, encouraging people to access health care early, and reducing infection and mortality.^[17]

Nour et al conducted a study to evaluate the knowledge, attitude and practices of healthcare providers towards MERS-CoV infection at Makkah hospitals, KSA and it was found that there was knowledge gap and negative attitude among healthcare providers at Makkah hospitals towards MERS-CoV infection.^[18] The results of the present study showed that the respondents in this study had low overall awareness of the novel Coronavirus including: respirator, N95 filtering facepiece respirator (FFR), use of nonsterile, disposable patient isolation gowns used for patients with COVID-19, availability of preventive treatment for COVID-19, curability of COVID-19, role of media in awareness of COVID-19.

Nour et al. reported that health care providers use personal protective equipment and keep healthy lifestyle to prevent MERS-CoV infection.^[18] Similarly, Thu et al. reported limited numbers of correct responses regarding items about hand washing and the use of personal protective equipment.^[19] Results of the present study showed 40% participants reported that patients with COVID-19 should not use personal protective measures while 13.85% were not aware of this. 14.10% participants reported that patients reported that patients without COVID-19 should use personal protective measures.

As on February 4th 2020, China has confirmed 20471 cases with 425 deaths and 2788 severe cases of 2019nCoV. In addition to China, 24 different countries from Europe, Northern America, Southeast Asia, Eastern Mediterranean, and Western Pacific Asia have reported the confirmed cases of this disease making the total tally of confirmed cases to 20630 worldwide. In the present scenario of not having any direct acting anti-viral agent and vaccines, strict implementation of high vigilance for 2019-nCoV and appropriate prevention and control measures are of utmost importance to check the further spread and control of this virus. The risk of cross-infection between patients and dental practitioners may be high due to the characteristics of the dental settings.^[20,21] In the present study low percentage of correct responses were observed regarding knowledge and awareness suggesting that there is lack of information towards novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) among dental students.

Nevertheless, there is a pressing necessity to improve knowledge of dental health care professionals toward novel Coronavirus (COVID-19). Learning materials posted on the Ministry of Health's website during the novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak may be one of the key sources of information among health professionals. In addition, individualized text messages sent to dental health professionals by the respective professional agencies may be the source of this information. However, seminar, symposium and research papers may be the source of information on knowledge and awareness among dental health professionals during the Coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak.

Conclusion

In a short span of time the new novel coronavirus (2019nCoV) has become a global concern. Following numerous recommendations and guidance from national and international dental societies and studies by government agencies, insufficient control of infections in dental care facilities has been demonstrated. The present study showed that there are knowledge and awareness gaps amongst dental students. It is highly recommended for relevant dental professional organizations to emphasize occupational and educational campaigns to increase the knowledge and awareness among dental students towards novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV).

References

- COVID-19: Dentistry and the new Coronavirus, a compilation from PubMed resources. Available from: https://www.zerodonto.com/en/2020/03/covid-19dentistry-new-coronavirus/
- Ather A, Patel B, Nikita B. Ruparel NB, Diogenes A, Kenneth M. Hargreaves KM, Coronavirus Disease 19 (COVID-19): Implications for Clinical Dental Care -Journal of Endodontic 2020;46:585-94

- Richman DD, Whitley RJ, Hayden FG, eds. Clinical virology, 4th edition. Washington: ASM Press, 2016.
- To KK, Hung IF, Chan JF, Yuen KY. From SARS coronavirus to novel animal and human coronaviruses. J Thorac Dis. 2013;5(2): S103-8.
- Meyer B, García-Bocanegra I, Wernery U, Wernery R, Sieberg A, Müller MA et al. Serologic assessment of possibility for MERS-CoV infection in equids. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015; 21:181-2.
- World Health Organization. Summary of probable SARS cases with onset of illness from 1 November 2002 to 31 July 2003. Available from: https://www.who.int/csr /sars/ country/table2004_04_21/en/ (Accessed on 5th April 2020).
- World Health Organization. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV). 2019. Available from: http://www.who.int/emergencies/mers-cov/en/. (Accessed on 5th April, 2020).
- World Health Organization. Novel coronavirus China. 2020. Available from: http://www.who.int/ csr/don/12-January-2020-novel-coronavirus-china/en/. (Accessed 6th April, 2020).
- World Health Organization. Novel coronavirus Thailand (ex-China). 2020. Available from: http://www.who.int/csr/don/14-january-2020-novelcoronavirusthailand/en/. (Accessed 6th April, 2020).
- World Health Organization. Novel coronavirus Japan (ex-China). 2020. Available from: http://www.who.int/csr/don/17-january-2020-novelcoronavirusjapan-ex-china/en/. (Accessed 6th April, 2020).
- World Health Organization. Novel coronavirus Republic of Korea (ex-China). Jan 21, 2020. Available from: http://www.who.int/csr/don/21-

january-2020-novelcoronavirus- republic-of-Koreaex-china/en/. (Accessed 23rd April, 2020).

- 12. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019ncov/faq.html. (Accessed 6th April, 2020).
- 13. World Health Organization. Q & A on Coronaviruses (COVID-19). Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/q-acoronaviruses. (Accessed 6th April, 2020).
- 14. Centre for Disease Control & Prevention. FAQ about personal protective equipment. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/respirator-use-faq.html. (Accessed 6th April, 2020).
- Althobaity HM. Knowledge and awareness of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus among Saudi and Non-Saudi Arabian pilgrims. Int J Health Sci (Qassim) 2017; 11:20-25.
- Kharma et al. Assessment of the awareness level of dental students toward Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus. J Inter Soc of Preven Community Dent. 2015; 5:163-69.
- Almutairi KM. Awareness, Attitudes, and Practices Related to Coronavirus Pandemic Among Public in Saudi Arabia. Fam Community Health. 2015; 38:332-40.
- Nour MO, Babilghith AO, Natto HA, Al-Amin FO, Alawneh SM. Knowledge, attitude and practices of healthcare providers towards MERS-CoV infection at Makkah hospitals, KSA. Int Res J Med Sci. 2015; 3:103-12.
- Thu TA, Anh NQ, Chau NQ, Hung NV. Knowledge, attitude and practices regarding standard and isolation precautions among Vietnamese health care workers: A multicenter cross-sectional survey. Intern Med.2012; 2:115.

- Meng L, Hua F, Bian Z Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): Emerging and Future Challenges for Dental and Oral Medicine. J Dent Res. 2020.12:1-6.
- 21. Malik YS et al. Emerging novel coronavirus (2019nCoV)—current scenario, evolutionary perspective

Legend Tables

Table 1: shows the gender distribution of the study participants

based on genome analysis and recent developments. Vet Q. 2020; 40: 68–76.

S.N.	Groups	Total (n=390)	Gender		Chi square value ^I	p-value
			Males n(%)	Females n(%)		
1.	Group 1	65	25(38.5)	40(61.5)		
2.	Group 2	65	19(29.2)	46(70.8)		0.208
3.	Group 3	65	27(41.5)	38(58.5)	7.176	
4.	Group 4	65	17(26.2)	48(73.8)		
5.	Group 5	65	23(35.6)	42(64.6)		
6.	Group 6	65	29(44.6)	36(55.4)		

I- Chi Square test*S-Statistically significant, p≤0.05

Table 2 shows the year of study of the study participants

S.No	Year of	Grp 1	Grp 2	Grp 3	Grp 4	Grp 5	Grp 6	Total	Chi	p-value
	study	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	n(%)	(n=390)	square	
									value ^I	
1.	First year	13(20)	11(16.9)	4(6.2)	12(18.5)	13(20)	13(20)	66		
2.	Second year	15(23.1)	12(18.5)	9(13.8)	17(26.2)	14(21.5)	14(21.5)	81		
3.	Third year	11(16.9)	17(26.2)	11(16.9)	15(23.1)	12(18.5)	12(18.5)	78		
4.	Fourth year	10(15.4)	11(16.9)	13(20)	11(16.9)	3(4.6)	3(4.6)	51	42.480	< 0.001*
5.	Interns	9(13.8)	5(7.7)	12(18.5)	5(7.7)	6(9.2)	6(9.2)	43		
6.	Postgraduate	7(10.8)	9(13.8)	16(24.5)	5(7.7)	17(26.2)	17(26.2)	71		
	Total	65	65	65	65	65	65			
	(n=390)									

I- Chi Square test*S-Statistically significant, p≤0.05

Knowledge	Re	sponses	Frequency							
Related			Grp 1	Grp 2	Grp 3	Grp 4	Grp 5	Grp 6	Chi	p-value
Questions									square	
									value ^I	
Q1. What is	1.	Bacterial infection	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)		
COVID-19?	2.	Viral infection								- ^a
	3.	Don't know	65 (100)	65 (100)	65 (100)	65 (100)	65	65 (100)	_ ^a	
			0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	(100)	0(0)		
							0(0)			
Q2. What is the	1.	Direct Transmission	17 (7.9)	32(14.8)	44(20.4)	21 (9.7)	47	55(25.5)		
source of		(Coughing, Sneezing)					(21.8)		82.266	< 0.001*
infection of	2.	Infected Person								
COVID-19?	3.	Both 1 & 2								
	4.	Neither 1 nor 2	37(31.1)	17	12(10.1)	30(25.2)		7 (5.9)		
				(14.3)			16			
			11 (20)		9 (16.4)	14(25.5)	(13.4)	3 (5.5)		
			0(0)	16	0(0)	0(0)		0(0)		
				(29.1)			2			
				0(0)			(3.6)			
							0(0)			
Q3. What are the	1.	Fatigue or myalgia	10(41.6)	6 (25)	4 (16.7)	0(0)	0(0)	4 (16.7)		
symptoms of	2.	Fever							47.768	< 0.001*
COVID-19?	3.	Cough	19(17.1)	10 (9.0)	21(18.9)	19(17.1)	22	20(18.0)		
	4.	Headache/Diarrhoea	31(14.8)	35	39(18.6)	37(17.6)	(19.9)	33(15.6)		
	5.	All of the Above	0(0)	(16.7)	0(0)	0(0)	35	4 (40.0)		
				5 (50)			(16.7)			
			5 (14.3)		1 (2.9)	9 (25.7)	1	4 (11.4)		
				9 (25.7)			(10.0)			
							7 (20)			
Q4. COVID-19 is	1.	Yes	59 19.3)	50	55(18.0)	48(15.7)	45	48(15.7)		
a fatal disease to	2.	No	0(0)	(16.4)	3 (9.1)	9 (27.3)	(14.8)	9 (27.3)	17.813	0.058
any person?	3.	Don't know	6 (11.5)	5 (15.2)	7 (13.5)	8 (15.4)	7	8 (15.4)		
				10			(21.2)			
				(19.2)			13			
							(25)			

Table 3 Distribution of responses of the participants knowledge regarding the novel Coronavirus

O5. What is the	1. 1-5 days	12(10.4)	19	23(20.0)	19(16.5)	19	23(20.0)		< 0.001*
incubation period	2 1-7 days	35(17.8)	(16.5)	31(15.7)	36(18.3)	(16.5)	33(16.8)	10.602	(0.001
of COVID-19?	3 1-14 days	18(23.1)	33	11(14.1)	10(12.8)	29	9 (11.5)	10.002	
	S. Triadys	10(25.1)	(16.8)	11(1.1.)	10(12.0)	(147)) (11.5)		
			13			17			
			(167)			(21.8)			
O6 Patients with	1 Yes	39(16.5)	42	36(15.2)	47(19.8)	37	36(15.2)		0.247
COVID-19	2 No	55(10.5)	(17.7)	50(15.2)	17(19.0)	(15.6)	50(15.2)	12 591	0.217
should be isolated	3 Don't know	4 (9 1)	(17.77)	10(22.7)	3 (6 8)	(15.0)	12(27.3)	12.371	
in a private		1 (9.1)	6(136)	10(22.7)	5 (0.0)	9	12(27.5)		
room/ward?		22(20.2)	0 (15.0)	19(17.4)	15(13.8)	(20.5)	17(15.6)		
room/ward.		22(20.2)	17	1)(17.4)	15(15.0)	(20.3)	17(15.0)		
			(15.6)			19			
			(15.0)			(17.4)			
07 Do you know	1 Ves	36 (22)	24	29(17.7)	23(14.0)	25	27(16.5)	7 155	0.002*
the meaning of	1. 105 2 No	30(22) 20(12.8)	(14.6)	29(17.7)	23(14.0)	(15.2)	38(16.8)	7.135	0.002
torm	2. 110	29(12.8)	(14.0)	30(13.3)	42(18.0)	(13.2)	38(10.8)		
'Quarantina'?			(18, 1)			(17.7)			
		12(10.4)	(10.1)	22 (20)	10(16.5)	(17.7)	22 (20)	10.00	.0.001*
Q8. How can this	1. Evaluation of the	12(10.4)	19	23 (20)	19(16.5)	19	23 (20)	10.602	<0.001*
infection be	patient 2 Demonster Destantion		(16.5)			(16.5)			
controlled in a	2.Personal Protective	25(17.0)		21/15 7	26(10.2)		22(16.0)		
Dental setting?	measures	35(17.8)	22	31(15.7)	36(18.3)	20	33(16.8)		
	3. Hand Hygiene		33			29			
	4. All of the above		(16.8)			(14.7)			
		18(23.1)		11(14.1)	10(12.8)		9 (!1.5)		
		0(0)	13	0(0)	0(0)	17	0(0)		
			(16.7)			(21.8)			
			0(0)			0(0)			
Q9. Which	1. Children	17(19.3)	12	13(14.8)	27(30.7)	2	17(19.3)	43.553	<0.001*
population is at a	2. Adults	39(21.2)	(13.6)	31(16.8)	15 (8.2)	(2.3)	27(14.7)		
higher risk of	3. Geriatric population/	9 (7.6)	34	21(17.8)	23(19.5)	38	21(17.8)		
developing	person with heart		(18.5)			(20.7)			
COVID-19?	disease or diabetes		19			25			
			(16.1)			(21.2)			

Q10. What	1. 3 meters	17 (8.3)	56	30 14.6)	3 (15.5)	37	34(16.5)	69.330	<0.001*
distance must be	2. 1 metre	27(22.7)	(27.2)	22(18.5)	19(16.0)	(18.0)	27(22.7)		
maintained from	3. Don't know	21(32.3)	0(0)	13(20.0)	14(21.5)	24	4 (6.2)		
a person who is			9 (13.8)			(20.2)			
sneezing and						4			
coughing?						(6.2)			

I- Chi Square test, *S-Statistically significant, $p \le 0.05$, -^a: No statistics were computed as the values are constant.

Table 4 Distribution of responses of the participants awareness regarding the novel Coronavirus

Awareness	Resp	ponses	Frequency	(%)						
Related			Grp 1	Grp 2	Grp 3	Grp 4	Grp 5	Grp 6	Chi square	p-value
Questions									value ^I	
Q1. Patients	1.	Yes	26(14.4)	27 (15)	30(16.7)	37(20.6)	31(17.2)	29(16.1)	29.149	<0.001*
with COVID-	2.	No	37(23.7)	22(14.1)	27(17.3)	26(16.7)	21(13.5)	23(14.7)		
19 should	3.	Don't	2 (3.7)	16(29.6)	8 (14.8)	2 (3.7)	13(24.1)	13(24.1)		
wear a mask?		Know								
Q2. Patients	1.	Yes	9 (16.4)	8 (14.5)	13(23.6)	8 (14.5)	3 (5.5)	14(25.5)	11.102	0.350
without	2.	No	27(18.4)	23(15.6)	22(15.0)	24(16.3)	29(19.7)	22(15.0)		
COVID-19	3.	Don't	29(15.4)	34(18.1)	30(16.0)	33(17.6)	33(17.6)	29(15.4)		
should wear a		Know								
mask?										
Q3. What is a	1.	А	10(10.4)	14(14.6)	12 (!2.5)	20(20.8)	20(20.8)	20(20.8)	19.482	0.035
respirator?		respirat								
		or is a								
		persona								
		1								
		protecti								
		ve								
		device								
		that is								
		worn								
		on the								
		face or								
		head								
		and								
		covers								
		at least								[2

	the								
	nose								
	and								
	mouth.								
	2. A	51(19.4)	46(17.5)	44(16.7)	36(30.7)	44(16.7)	42(16.0)		
	device								
	used								
	for								
	respirat								
	ion/bre								
	athing								
	3. Don't	4 (12.9)	5 (16.1)	9 (29.0)	9 (29.0)	1 (3.2)	3 (9.7)		
	know								
Q4. What is	1. A type of	0(0)	8 (19.5)	2 (4.9)	6 (14.6)	8 (19.5)	17(41.5)	67.197	<0.001*
an N95	respirator								
filtering	which								
facepiece	removes								
respirator	particles								
(FFR)?	from the air								
	that are								
	breathed								
	through it.								
	These								
	respirators								
	filter out at								
	least 95%								
	of very								
	small (0.3								
	micron)								
	particles.								
	2.A device	20(13.9)	15(10.4)	17(11.8)	36 (25)	22(15.3)	34(23.6)		
	used as a								
	facemask.								
	3.Don't	45 (22)	42(20.5)	46(22.4)	23 (!1.2)	35(17.1)	14 (6.8)		
	know								
Q5. Are	1. Yes	3 (7.9)	6 (15.8)	7 (18.4)	0(0)	5 (13.2)	17(44.7)	30.409	<0.001*
Nonsterile,	2. No	40(16.9)	40(16.9)	41(17.3)	45 (19)	38 (16)	33(13.9)		N
disposable	3. Don't	22(19.1)	19(16.5)	17(14.8)	20(17.4)	22(19.1)	15 (13)		7
1 ·······		(/	()	(,		(/	x - /		4

patient		Know								
isolation										
gowns used										
for patients										
with COVID										
19?										
Q6. Are	1.	Yes	13(16.9)	15(19.5)	18(23.4)	19(11.7)	5 (6.5)	17(22.1)	49.260	<0.001*
antibiotics	2.	No	12 (10)	16(13.3)	9 (7.5)	36 (30)	32(26.7)	15(12.5)		
effective in	3.	Don't	40(20.7)	34(17.6)	38(19.7)	20(10.4)	28(14.5)	33(17.1)		
preventing or		Know								
treating the										
COVID-19?										
Q7. Is there	1.	Yes	0(0)	0(0)	6 (13.6)	5 (11.4)	15(34.1)	18(40.9)	47.569	< 0.001*
any	2.	No	13(14.4)	18(20.0)	18(20.0)	15(16.7)	16(17.8)	10(11.1)		
preventive	3.	Don't	52(20.3)	47(18.4)	41(16.0)	45(17.6)	34(13.3)	37(14.5)		
treatment		Know	()				- ()			
available for		I XIIO W								
the neural										
coronavirus?			0.(0)		0.(0)	0.(0)	0.(0)		9	9
Q8. Is there	1.	Yes	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	- ^a	- ^a
any vaccine	2.	No	65 (100)	65 (100)	65 (100)	65 (100)	65 (100)	65 (100)		
available for										
the prevention										
of novel										
coronavirus?										
Q9. Is novel	1.	Yes	21(16.3)	18(14.0)	11 (8.5)	18(14.0)	23(17.8)	38(29.5)	88.056	<0.001*
coronavirus	2.	No	39(21.5)	42(23.2)	33(18.2)	37(20.4)	30(16.6)	0 (0)		
curable?	3.	Don't	5 (6.3)	5 (6.3)	21(26.3)	10(12.5)	12(15.0)	27(33.8)		
		Know								
010 Do you	1	Ves	10(22.7)	6 (13 6)	10(22.7)	4 (9 1)	13(29.5)	1 (2 3)	15 268	0.009
faal madia	1. 2	No	55(15.0)	50(17.1)	55(15.0)	(7.1)	52(15.0)	f (2.3)	13.200	0.009
undatas are	2.	NO	55(15.9)	59(17.1)	55(15.9)	01(17.0)	52(15.0)	04(10.3)		
upuales are										
creating panic										
rathar than										
rather than										

I- Chi Square test, *S-Statistically significant, $p \le 0.05$, -^a: No statistics were computed as the values are constant.